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HEARING OFFICER: Time to call the meeting

```
to order. Do we have a rollcall?
```

MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Larson?

Mr. Harrington?
Mr. Lovin?
Mr. Wax?
MR. WAX: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Chambers?
MR. CHAMBERS: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: State's Attorney Perry?
MR. WAX: Do you want to call the County
Board, please, before we come to order?
MS. NUSBAUM: Henricks?
Edwards?
MR. EDWARDS: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Beem?
MR. BEEM: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Jones?
MS. JONES: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Piatt?
MS. PIATT: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Foran?
MR. FORAN: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Thank you.
(TODD HENRICKS AND

SARAH PERRY JOINED AFTER ROLLCALL.)

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Keri, could you do an identification of the application and provide an overview?

MS. NUSBAUM: On July 24, 2023, an application was filed by Prosperity Wind, LLC, an indirect subsidiary of Apex Clean Energy Holdings, LLC, for a special lease permit for a 300 megawatt wind energy conversion system consisting of up to 53 wind turbine generators that are up to 610.2 feet in height, along with any access roads, transformers, power lines, communication lines, overhead transmission lines, underground interconnection lines, substation, construction lay-down yards, an aircraft detection lighting system, and other ancillary facilities or structures on approximately 23,900 acres of agricultural land.

Apex Clean Energy Holdings, LLC, is the sole member and manager of Prosperity Wind, LLC. The corporate address is 120 Garrett Street, Suite 700 Charlottesville, Virginia, 22902.

The WEC system is proposed to be generally located in Blue Ridge and Goose Creek Townships.

The project is roughly bounded by the Piatt-McLean County Line to the North, the Piatt-DeWitt County line to the west, Goose Creek to the south, the Norfolk Southern railroad between Monticello and Mansfield to the east, and the Piatt-Champaign County Line to the east, north of Interstate 74. The $\$ 250,000$ Wind Energy Special Use application fee was paid, all adjacent property owners were given notice pursuant to statute, and the legal notice was published in the Piatt County Journal pursuant to statute.

Any questions?
MR. WAX: Welcome to this evening's meeting for the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals. My name is Loyd Wax. I am the Chair of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals.

Tonight is the first night of what likely
will be several meetings of a public hearing regarding the application for a special use permit. The applicant has paid the application fees required by county ordinance.

Notice of this public hearing has been published and mailed in accordance of applicable law.

For the record, this public hearing is taking place at the Monticello Community Building, and the purpose of this hearing is for the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals to hear evidence, make findings of facts, and then make a recommendation on the proposed project to the Piatt County Board which will have a final say on the proposed project.

This hearing is currently scheduled to occur over nine nights during the months of August and September.

I would like to introduce the people seated at the table.

To my far left is Will Chambers, Board Member.

Then we have Keri Nusbaum, our Zoning Administrator, who does an outstanding job of overseeing and keeps us on track.

There are other board members who are either not able to be here right now or who are on the way and will join us here a little bit later.

Seated on either side of me are attorneys who will be assisting the Zoning Board of Appeals during this public hearing.

To my right, Andy Keyt will be assisting the ZBA in answering legal questions the board may have concerning the course of the hearing and will assist the board in preparing its finding of fact that will be transmitted to the county board.

On my left, Scott Kains will serve as Public Hearing Facilitator. In that role he will assist in resolving evidentiary issues, including the admission of evidence and ruling on any objections to questions from attorneys for the parties.

Mr. Kains, would you please provide your rules and your procedures that will be followed during this public hearing?

HEARING OFFICER: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Wax.

There are several pages of rules/procedures. We have to do this legally and do this right.

All witnesses, including those of the applicant and any other interested party wishing to address the ZBA, shall be sworn. All witnesses shall testify under oath.

About witness who can testify: There are
sign-in sheets near the entrance, and you must sign in, name, address, and county in which you live, or you will not be allowed to testify.

When we get to that point in this hearing, I will go down the list and call witnesses as their names appear on the list. If a person signs in to testify and is not present when his or her name is called, then that person will miss the opportunity to present oral testimony. We are not going back or skipping ahead on the list.

In the event the person misses his or her turn to testify, he or she will be allowed to submit a written statement to the Zoning Administrator, Ms. Nusbaum, and that written statement will be considered as evidence in this hearing, photocopied for each zoning board member and for the attorneys and will be considered as part of the record of this hearing and submitted to each county board member for consideration.

The applicant shall present testimony and evidence. Each of the applicant's witnesses shall remain present for questioning by the $Z B A$ or any other interested parties, until such time as the witness is excused by the chairperson or by myself.

Members of the ZBA shall have the opportunity to question the applicant's witnesses providing testimony. ZBA members may, in the discretion of the chairman, ask questions at any time during the hearing.

Other interested parties shall have the opportunity to question the applicant's witnesses and evidence. Interested parties wishing to address the ZBA shall identify themselves by name and address on the record at this hearing. Interested parties shall be invited to address the ZBA in following order:

First, members of the units of local government, including school districts; interested parties represented by licensed attorneys; other interested parties; and Piatt County staff and consultants.

Once the applicant concludes its case, persons in favor of the application shall testify. Questioning of these witnesses shall occur in the order specified above.

Once all in favor of the application have testified, those opposed shall testify, beginning with witnesses called by counsel. Questioning of
those witnesses shall occur in the order specified above.

Once all opposed to the application have testified, those who are neutral on the application shall testify. Questioning of these witnesses shall occur in the order specified above.

Then there will be acceptance of written comments by the ZBA.

There will be a presentation of any Piatt County staff reports or comments.

And that will be followed by closing statements by the applicant. The applicant shall not introduced new information in the closing statement.

Then there will be public comment by interested parties in the same order listed above; three minutes per person for public comment.

Then there will a rebuttal of closing statement presented by the applicant.

The ZBA shall close the evidence.
The ZBA will then deliberate and vote on the application. The ZBA may, in its discretion, set a future hearing date for deliberations and voting on the application.

The vote of the $Z B A$ is not a final decision. It is a recommendation to the Piatt County Board. The ZBA shall transmit its findings and recommendation to the County Board.

Now, procedures governing the public hearing:

The Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals will receive evidence regarding a wind farm application during the public hearing. Evidence may be presented in two forms:

Oral testimony, which may also include documentary evidence presented at the public hearing.

Expert witnesses will be given one hour to present their direct testimony.

Non-expert witnesses, if you are a Piatt County resident, you have 30 minutes for your presentation.

Non-expert witnesses, non-Piatt County resident, will be afforded ten minutes to present their testimony.

Written comments also may be submitted to the Zoning Administrator either prior to the public hearing or during the course of this public hearing.

Speakers may not grant time to other speakers. Testimony is limited to one time per person unless that witness is recalled by counsel or recalled at the request of the $Z B A$.

This wasn't a problem with the hearing we had last November, December, January with respect to the Goose Creek application, but I need to have this -- I need to read this because we've had this in other hearings I've conducted.

Note that audience members must be seated and quiet. And, obviously, if there is not enough chairs, you don't have to be seated, but we ask that you be quiet. Loitering in the hearing room is prohibited. Any person who is unruly, disrupts or attempts to disrupt the hearing or otherwise engages in inappropriate behavior will be expelled. Excessive applause, jeering, or other commotion shall be considered disruptive.

Again, this wasn't a problem at the least hearing; although, hearings I've conducted in the past, we've had a room full of yelling people, and we are not going to have that here.

Now, Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals Public Hearing Guidelines for the Siting of Wind

Energy Conversion Systems:
These rules are supplementary to the provisions of the Piatt County Code and ordinance's zoning chapter that in part regulates the siting of wind energy conversion systems in Piatt County.

These provisions shall govern procedures of public hearings before the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals concerning wind energy conversion systems. The chairperson and the ZBA may modify these procedures in their reasonable discretion.

A court reporter shall be present at every hearing and voting meeting in order to provide a transcript of the proceedings. Transcripts will be prepared by the court reporter and sent to the ZBA. Costs for all transcripts of hearings or voting meetings before the $Z B A$ shall be borne by the applicant, and the cost of the transcript shall be paid directly to the court reporting service.

The hearing shall occur on the dates and time set forth in the public notice. All necessary additional hearing dates shall be scheduled at the discretion of the $Z B A$.

The chairperson or acting chairperson of the ZBA shall preside at the public hearings of the

ZBA. The Chairperson shall have the authority to control the hearing proceedings and may set time limits, schedule the appearance of witnesses and require their appearance on dates set forth in the scheduling order, restrict witnesses from testifying for failure to appear on the date scheduled for that witness's testimony, adopt reasonable time limits upon the questioning of witnesses by the applicant and interested parties, and take other action deemed reasonably necessary by the chairperson in order to conduct the hearing in an ordinarily, efficient, and professional manner.

The hearing before the $Z B A$ shall not be governed by, and the ZBA shall not be bound by the strict rules of evidence. The $Z B A$ may exclude irrelevant material and unduly repetitious testimony and any other testimony or evidence that, in the discretion of the chairperson and the ZBA, is not pertinent to these proceedings.

At the discretion of the chairperson, $Z B A$ members shall be permitted to question witnesses at any time during the hearing, and $Z B A$ members may request additional evidence be presented to them during the hearing.

Public hearings may be held by less than a quorum of the ZBA.

The discretion to admit documents into evidence lies solely with the hearing facilitator.

Documents and recordings obtained or printed from the internet or elsewhere will be admissible at the discretion of the hearing facilitator, who may ask the witness to lay a foundation for the documents or recordings based on personal knowledge. More on this in a minute.

And the ZBA or hearing facilitator will determine if a person qualifies as an expert witness and will state its finding on the record. Expert witnesses shall identify their area of expertise and limit their testimony thereto. Experts shall clearly identify and provide any studies they completed on the subject matter of the hearing. An expert may rely on studies not in the record used to form their opinions but shall not testify about the results of those studies except on cross-examination or upon leave from the $Z B A$ chair or hearing facilitator. All studies relied upon and experts' written submissions must be disclosed and written credentials provided for expert witnesses.

All right. I have had occasion to review several prehearing motions filed by Prosperity Wind, LLC. Prosperity has filed a motion to exclude irrelevant, repetitious, and unreliable information and a motion to prohibit ex parte communications.

After reviewing these motions, I have the following rulings and instructions:

Evidence and questioning that is not relevant to the Prosperity Wind Supplemental Use Application will not be permitted. Unduly repetitious testimony and questioning will not be permitted.

With respect to the issue of laying a proper legal foundation for evidence, this is a public hearing, and I tend to relax the foundation requirement that is set forth in a courtroom; however, I am going to be very cautious about admitting irrelevant material from the internet and other sources. I will direct questioners that they are not to offer their own comments or opinions when questioning a testifying witness. I will instruct the $Z B A$ regarding the reduced weight that should be given to information offered into the record that does not meet basic evidentiary standards.

Now, concerning Prosperity Wind, LLC's, motion to prohibit ex parte communication, I would like everyone to understand that an ex parte communication is one in which an individual on the applicant's team or the general public has a discussion about the merits of the special use application with any member of the Piatt County Zoning Board.

ZBA Members, if anyone approaches you wishing to discuss the application, you must tell them that you cannot have such a discussion until after the deliberations and vote have concluded.

There shall be no e-mails, writings, verbal discussions with any members of the ZBA because they have to be fair and impartial.

Again, ZBA Members, you are directed to refrain from and disregard any ex parte communications you may receive regarding the Prosperity Wind SUP application when making your findings of fact and recommendations to the county board regarding the application.

Now, Ms. Antoniolli, I believe you have an issue to present, before we get started, with the testimony concerning natural resource information,
the NRI reports, and land evaluation and site assessment reports, LESA reports.

MS. ANTONIOLLI: Thank you, Mr. Kains. My associate, Ryan Granholm, will introduce you to that.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you.
Mr. Granholm, you may proceed.
MR. GRANHOLM: Thank you, Mr. Kains.
Good evening. My name is Ryan Granholm.
I am one of the attorneys who will be representing the applicant, Prosperity Wind, during the proceedings this week.

While we are on the topic of procedural and other legal matters before we begin the presentation of evidence, there is one legal issue that the ZBA should be aware of regarding the Piatt County WECS Ordinance.

Roman Number VBX of the Piatt County WECS Ordinance requires applicants to submit, "Any other information that is normally required by the county as part of its zoning ordinance, including NRI/LESA reports for each affected parcel."

Prosperity Wind understands these
requirements to refer to natural resource

```
information reports and land evaluation and site
    assessment reports, both of which are types of
    reports that are typically prepared by a county's
    soil and conservation water district.
    Prosperity Wind has provided to the
    hearing facilitator, Mr. Kains, and will be entering
    into the record tonight a legal objection to that
    requirement of the Piatt County WECS Ordinance.
    We encourage the members of the ZBA and
    the County Board to read that written objection, but
    we also wanted to provide a brief overview this
        evening.
    There are just two legal issues that I
    want to highlight for the ZBA regarding this
        requirement.
            The first is that the NRI/LESA requirement
        is contrary to state law. The portion of the
        Illinois Counties Code that governs the siting of
        wind energy facilities states that counties may not
        adopt requirements that are "more restrictive" than
        the state standards that are required in the
        Illinois County Code.
    That statute includes requirements
    specifically for the protection of agricultural
```

land. Any additional requirements such as a requirement to supply an NRI/LESA report would be more restricted than those state requirements and would be invalid. Denying a special use permit because NRI/LESA reports were not obtained or due to the contents of any reports that are obtained would be grounds for appeal and potential reversal of the county's decision.

The second issue I want to highlight is that there is simply no need for an NRI/LESA report here and no basis for the $Z B A$ to require it.

The purposes of an NRI/LESA are not served in this instance. The project is not publically funded and will not be constructed by any state or federal agency.

Furthermore, the project will not permanently convert any agricultural land in Piatt County to nonagricultural purposes.

Moreover, Piatt County has not previously required this sort of a report for other wind energy or other projects. Requiring it here would, therefore, would be arbitrary and capricious.

I would ask the hearing facilitator to please include Prosperity Wind's legal objection in
the record for this proceeding.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Granholm. Yes. It will be included in the record. I have received a copy of your objection and memorandum of law with respect to this issue dated August 23, 2023.

And, Mr. Keyt, have you received this as well?

MR. KEYT: Yes. We have that copy.
HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And then it will
be made part of the record.
MR. KEYT: Yes. With the -- if I could ask that we have our response to that reserved until such time as may be necessary.

My understanding, in our discussion with the applicants, is they will provide the information or will it be provided from the necessary group that would provide that but reserved their objection.

HEARING OFFICER: We'll allow you to reserve argument on that should we get to that.

So very good, Mr. Granholm, that is part of the record now.

MR. GRANHOLM: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.

Are there any other preliminary matters, Ms. Antoniolli?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: I would like to offer an opening statement, but before we do that can we talk about entering a couple of items into the record in addition to the objection?

HEARING OFFICER: Yes. What do you have?
MS. ANTONIOLLI: We would like to start with maybe moving a copy of the application into the record. You can let me know your preferred numeration. I would offer it as A1, Applicant's Exhibit A1. And that is on file already with the Zoning Administrator.

There are several hardcopies in the office, and I would like to let the public know, too, that that is available for inspection.

Would you like to rule on that then?
MR. KEYT: What $I$ would suggest is that that be Applicant's Group Exhibit Number 1.

HEARING OFFICER: Applicant's Group Exhibit Number 1. Mr. Keyt will note the exhibits. And I will allow that exhibit in evidence. It would be kind of silly if we had a hearing without an application in the record, so that has to be in.

Thank you, Ms. Antoniolli, for that.
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 1 - APPLICATION.)
MS. ANTONIOLLI: Thank you.
The next one we would like to move into the record, and Ryan is going to be circulating copies of this to all also, it's a Certificate of Publication, the newspaper notice, the Affidavit of Publication -- is that correct? -- and that includes the map that was published in the newspaper as well as all the landowner addresses.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Keyt, what number was that?

MR. KEYT: That will be Applicant's Exhibit Number 2.

HEARING OFFICER: Applicant's Exhibit 2 is admitted into the record, the publication notice. (APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 2 - PUBLICATION NOTICE.)

MS. ANTONIOLLI: The next one we would like to move into the record is a copy of the notice that Apex provided to the Mahomet Valley Aquifer Authority, and that is required by the ordinance.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Keyt, number?
MR. KEYT: Applicant's Exhibit 3.

HEARING OFFICER: Applicant's 3 will be admitted into the record.
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 3 - NOTICE TO MVWAA.)
MS. ANTONIOLLI: We also would like to
move into the record copies, for your ease of reference, of the two posters that you see at the side of the room. There is a site plan, and the other one is a one-page or one-poster board of economic impacts to the community, economic benefits to the community.

HEARING OFFICER: And you have 8.5" x 11" copies of those?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: Yes. That is what we brought with us today, one of each. So I think that would be Group Exhibit 4 and Group Exhibit 5.

HEARING OFFICER: Applicant's Exhibits 4 and 5 will be admitted into the record.
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 4 - SITE PLAN.)
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 5 - ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS.)

MS. ANTONIOLLI: Thank you.
And then, in preparation for our first witness, we would also like to move copies of Mr. Alan Moore's presentation into the record, and

```
then I'm ready to provide an opening.
    HEARING OFFICER: Is that 6, Andy?
    MR. KEYT: Correct.
    HEARING OFFICER: Applicant's Exhibit 6,
Mr. Moore's presentation, will be admitted into the
    record.
    (APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 6 - ALAN MOORE'S
    PRESENTATION.)
    MS. ANTONIOLLI: Thank you. And to
    formally introduce myself, my name is Amy
Antoniolli. I am with ArentFox Schiff, here with
Ryan Granholm, who you already met also from
ArentFox Schiff.
    Also representing Apex Clean Energy is
Jerald Hess, who is Associate General Counsel with
Apex.
    We are here also joined by several Apex
witnesses you'll hear from throughout the course of
    the hearing as well as subject matter experts, all
    of which provided their expertise and reports and
    studies that support the application. You'll hear
    from them each in time.
    Apex Clean Energy is among the most
respected and experienced wind energy and renewable
```

energy developers in the US. Apex owns Prosperity Wind, which in turns will own and operate Prosperity Wind Farm.

The team that you'll see and hear from throughout the course of the hearings has been working and investing in Piatt County for over four years now.

During Apex's presentation, you'll hear how this application is new and improved. We've worked hard to keep this presentation organized and efficient and moving forward.

Our first witness will be Alan Moore, Senior Development Manager, who will walk through the application and the Prosperity Wind project, including the site plan and the benefits to the community, and he'll been introducing you to the other witnesses who will be presenting after him.

For you, the Zoning Board of Appeals, that are here tonight, you're tasked withholding this hearing and issuing a recommendation on whether to approve Apex's application for a special use permit for the Prosperity Wind Farm.

The only thing that $I$ ask of the board members here tonight is to listen to all of the
evidence before you make a decision on whether to recommend approving the permit.

There are gradations of how people view data and information and the reliability of that data and information, and we ask you to please consider the weight of evidence that is given to all of the information that you hear tonight and throughout this week.

The LaSalle Factors, which are included in Piatt County's WECS Ordinance, they are called hearing factors in the ordinance. They are given a very -- they play a very different role now than they ever did before after the amendment of the Illinois Counties Code, which took effect in January of this year.

The Illinois Counties Code states, as set forth in those most recent statutory amendments, that a county shall approve a special use permit request if that request is in compliance with those wind specific provisions of the county's code, if the county's ordinance that is adopted is consistent with that code, and all other applicable state and federal statutes and regulations.

Now, the LaSalle Factors after this recent
statutory change really only come into play if the information you hear shows the application fails to satisfy the factors. This is not a subjective standard that can be based on opinion. This is an objective factor but an objective standard that must be based on factual evidence.

The information included in the application and the evidence that we'll present shows that the Prosperity Wind application meets all of these criteria.

And with that, we can turn it over to Mr. Alan Moore. He'll start us out on our presentation.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, Ms. Antoniolli.

Mr. Moore, while you have the right hand free, would you please raise your right hand to be sworn.

ALAN MOORE,
a witness herein, called by the Applicant, after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, you may proceed.

MR. MOORE: Can everybody hear me? All
right. Good.
HEARING OFFICER: Yes. First of all,
would you state your name, spelling first and last
name for the record?
MR. MOORE: Absolutely. My name is Alan
Moore, $A-1-a-n$ M-o-o-r-e, and $I$ am a senior
development manager with Apex.

HEARING OFFICER: Good. Go right ahead.
MR. MOORE: Thank you, sir.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Thank you, rest of the board, those who are here, and those who are not here.

Big thanks to the County Board members who are here in the room, county staff who have spent many hours working through this process for Piatt County, as well as the state's attorney who is here this evening, and thanks to everyone in the audience. It's important to have participation in these events, and I am glad to see the turnout this evening.

Before we get going, I would like to set some expectations for our efforts here this evening and over the coming days. I think we can all recognize that we spent many nights sitting in these
rooms. I am glad to see that we did not invest in
padded chairs for this time through, but very
thankful for that time. But $I$ think what $I$ can say
as we move through it this time, we want to have
this move forward smoothly and efficiently.
You'll hear from myself this evening and
my colleague, Adam Carlson, on our project
management team, our colleague Jason Conley on our
health and safety team, and our colleague Brie
Anderson on our permitting team.
We should work through our process
presenting our project, and that should take roughly
about 90 minutes, outside of questions.
Next slide, please.
We have a number of subject matter experts
here in room seated behind us both internal and
internal to Apex. They have done exhaustive amounts
of work working for the project for Prosperity Wind
and are here to answer your questions both as a
board and as a community.
Next slide.
As I said, my name is Alan Moore. I am a
Senior Development Manager with Apex. I moved to
Apex a little over five years ago, coming from a
background of working in the agricultural community. Personally, I grew up in a rural community. I've had number of jobs in my career. I've worked in the wine industry, I've run a produce company, and I've worked in the dairy and seed corn business. I was driven to those career choices, and my career choice in Apex because of my passion for agricultural and working in communities like Apex.

Rural communities are critical to our country. Agriculture in these communities is what makes their way of life and what ties counties like Piatt County together.

Agricultural is a tough industry. I think everyone in this room can recognize that. Weather, markets, forces out of the control of farmers make or break a year or make or break more than a year.

Projects like Prosperity adds certainty to Piatt County, and they add certainty to landowners and those who are participating in projects like Prosperity Wind. They help landowners make it through challenging years, and they help build for the future in good years.

Next slide, please.
As I've mentioned, my colleagues all work
for Apex. We are but a part of a larger organization. Apex has over 400 employees across the company and spread across the country. Our headquarters are in Charlottesville, Virginia, and we do have another office in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

But what you can see from this slide is that our work and our projects are in rural communities. That is where we work, and that is what is important to us.

Next slide, please.
Prosperity Wind, you can see a picture of it here. You can see a map of it in the back of the room. I think it would be remiss if I didn't acknowledge our efforts in Piatt County previously with our project Goose Creek Wind. There were a lot of questions that came out of our 17 nights here over the course of a number of months, and what I can say and what $I$ would like to start with is calling out three really important differences between Prosperity Wind and Goose Creek Wind. First, it's a much smaller footprint. If you look at the map, you can see. We heard during the hearing there was a lot of questions about why was the project area so big, where are the impacts

1 going to be, what roads were we going to use, how was it going to affect drainage. We listened to the folks in the community, we listened to you all as board members, and we worked to really shrink the project area and refine it to be just the impact that we intend to have across the project area.

Second, there is less turbines. With Goose Creek Wind, we asked to permit 60 locations, while we only planned to construct 50 , so that is 10 spare locations.

We did studies on more than seven. That led to confusion to folks in the room, folks across the county, and folks on the board, both the zoning board and the county board, and about which turbines were to be built and which ones were spare locations, so we refined that.

As I said, we plan to construct 50 wind turbines. We are asking for 53 permits because we want to have three locations as spare locations should anything come up during the construction process.

If you want to know which ones are spared, you can look on the map back there, and you can look on our permit application, and you can look up
there. The yellow locations are the spare locations.

Lastly is, there were many questions about the total impact and overall impact we would have to roads and to drainage across the project area.

What I can say is that we have a fully crafted, drafted, and approved road use agreement outlining all of the impacts to our project across all of the road districts, the county, and the townships. You'll hear much more about that from my colleague Adam and others in the room tonight, but those have been drafted and we've worked hard and closely with the road districts, the county engineer, and the township road commissioners to put those together.

Additionally, included in your application is a 73-page exhaustive drainage study or drainage agreement. It outlines every impact that we will have to both public and private drainage across the project area. There are a number of overlapping drainage districts that overlap our project area. We have mapped every impact we would have across those drainage districts and the public tile there.

We've also looked at the impact we would
have to private drain tile across the fields that we would cross, and those are included, as well as a hydrology study and many other things that we've looked at for the project.

So, again, you don't have to take my word for this. It is included in our application, and we are happy to discuss that and answer questions.

Next slide, please.
So why Piatt County? That is probably the first question we get every time we start a project, and certainly I've fielded that many times here in Piatt County. Why have I spent many nights here in this room, why have I spent many nights meeting with people in the community, visiting their farms, talking to them, talking to their attorneys.

First and foremost is the wind resource. There is great wind in Piatt County. There is great wind in this part of the country, across the region. Second, is a receptive community. I know not everyone in this room is here in support of the project, but what $I$ can say is that Apex has no eminent domain. Every acre of the 35,000-plus acres that we have participating in this project has chosen to participate in the project. We view that
as a very receptive community.
Last is transmission capacity. Without
transmission capacity, without the ability to
project your electricity onto the grid you have
don't have a project.
What I can say again is that Piatt County
is blessed to have all three of these. It has a
great opportunity to host a world-class project in
Piatt County.
Next slide, please.
Apex plans to own and operate Prosperity
Wind for the life of the project. We don't take
that obligation lightly. We've worked hard over the
last five years and will continue to work hard over
the life of the project to be a great member of the
community.
We've developed relationships with many
local organizations and nonprofits. Early in the
development of the project we formed a community
grant program that to date has donated over $\$ 140,000$
to nonprofits and other organizations across the
county. We have been active in the local community.
We sponsored Mansfield Homecoming, Farmer City
Heritage Festival. We are members of the Monticello

Chamber of Commerce, the Rotary Club, the Chamber of Commerce in Farmer City. We've hosted volunteer days for our staff to volunteer their time at organizations here in Piatt County. We view ourselves as a very important and a contributing member and don't take that lightly and very much thank you and spread thanks to the whole community for the welcoming.

Next slide, please.
Before I talk about the specifics of Prosperity Wind, I would like to talk a little bit about the demographics and economics of Piatt County.

This is a lot to read, and $I$ understand that. And it's -- depending where you are sitting, you might have a pillar in the way, so I'll kind of talk through it a little bit.

This information, first and foremost, what I'll say is it's not my data. We did not put this information together. This is US census data that was aggregated by Dr. Davis Loomis, who is here in the room. He is a Professor Emeritus at Illinois State University. He has done a lot of work for projects like Prosperity but other industries as
well.
What this shows is, if you look at figure 5 and figure 6, are some alarming population trends that you can see in Piatt.

Figure 5 is the population of under the age of 18. Figure 6 is those of working age, 18 to 64. So what that shows is that Piatt County has the younger folks, students, and the workforce that are moving away from the county.

As you look at figure 7, on the right side of the screen, what you see is the population of folks over the age of 65. So that line, obviously, is converse to the other two graphs. So this shows an ageing population in Piatt County.

Next slide, please.
Many people in this room, folks on the board included, have sat through a number of budget-related meetings for Piatt County over the years. They are important conversations to have as a community. One of the things that we have seen over the last few years is we looked at the historic personal property replacement tax, PPRT.

Piatt County has traditionally been in the 1 to 2 million dollar range. Over the last few
years that number has gone up. It's averaged closer to 5 to 6 million dollars. Just recently, in early August, Illinois Department of Revenue released its estimates for 2024, and what that does is it shows a decrease in those funds moving forward for Piatt County. That number is expected to drop by almost 30 percent next year, or roughly $\$ 2$ million. It will decrease to just under $\$ 4.3$ million, and signs are pointing that this number is likely to continue to drop in the future years.

Next slide, please.
So what does that mean? What does all of that mean as we start to think about it?
1.) The county's population is ageing. There's a net loss of people under the age of 18 and a steady increase in retirees.
2.) The county as traditionally relied on PPRT to help county services. In future years, the Department of Revenue has indicated that increasingly these revenues may flow through personal income tax disbursements instead of the PPRT. So not only can the county expect to see a decrease of $\$ 2$ million next year, but that number can drop in the future years, and reliance on PPRT
to balance the county's budget exposes the county to risk. That risk can only be mitigated by increasing the property tax bases in the county.

So I know not everyone in this room likes wind, but that's not really the question that we are here to talk about tonight. What we are really here to think about is:
1.) Piatt County is facing some tough choices. In my experience, counties like Piatt want to maintain their independence. They want to not be dependent upon the state or the federal government for funds to help balance their budget or to provide for critical services across the community.

So Piatt County is facing a loss of \$2 million looking at next year and maybe more in the future.

So how is Piatt County going to handle this loss in revenue?

There is economic development in the form of Prosperity Wind and other projects that may come in the future.

Or does Piatt County want to raise the taxes on every individual in the county? So those are tough choices, and I am happy to talk about it.

Next slide.

Prosperity Wind. Again, you've seen the map, but $I$ think it's important to talk about what goes into that and what makes up our project.

Across our project area, which spans Blue Ridge Township and Goose Creek Township, we have 92 landowner agreements. Those represent 19,100 acres or 211 parcels. We have a lot of acreage outside of the project area, roughly 14,225 acres. Those acres are not included in the special use permit because they are not -- we are not requesting a special use for them.

So what does that mean? What is our impact going to be? We are asking to build 50 turbines. We are permitting 53. We have done a detailed study, down to the hundredth of an acre looking at the impact of our facilities on farm ground in Piatt County. Those 53 turbines in total take up just 3.21 acres. Again, 3.21 acres. All of our other aboveground facilities make up just over 35 acres. So our total impact across the entirety of the project area will be less than 40 acres, right around 39 acres. That is . 04 percent. So, if you take all of the participating acres and then you
narrow that down to just the acres that have aboveground facilities, . 04 percent of those acres with aboveground facilities are impacted. All of those parcels and all of those acres will be maintained an ag zone. They will be in the agricultural district. That shows that wind turbines and farming are compatible.

Next slide, please.
Prosperity Wind will bring certainty in revenue to Piatt County. Again, this is not my information, and this is not a guess. Illinois, the State of Illinois, mandates the valuation of every wind and solar project in the state to the geographic area in which they are located.

We know exactly where the turbines are going to go. We know where we are going to build them, and we know when we want to build them.

What does that mean for Piatt County? It
means roughly -- not roughly -- exactly
$\$ 93.5$ million in property taxes to all of the different taxing districts in Piatt County. That averages to be about $\$ 3.1$ million annually.

Over $\$ 58.5$ million in total school district revenue will go to the school districts
over the life of the project.
And I want flag this as well because I know it came up last time. We talked about this. This revenue will not impact existing or future school funding.

And last, there will be over $\$ 13.3$ million in total county property taxes paid to Piatt County over the life of the project.

Again, go back one more time. Yeah. Thank you.

This is not -- again, $I$ am not making these numbers up. These numbers were done and produced by Dr. David Loomis. He's here. If there are detailed questions at some point in the future, he will be happy to answer them, but the details are all included in our Special Use Permit Application.

Next slide, please.
Prosperity Wind will bring certainty to the future for the future of the county. As I mentioned earlier, the Road Use Agreement is ready to be approved by the Piatt County Board. In total, those payments will total roughly $\$ 18.4$ million that will go to Piatt County road districts, the county, and the two districts, Blue Ridge and Goose Creek

Townships. \$3 million of that will be road permit fees, and $\$ 15.4$ million will be in surface repair payments. I am not an expert in these agreements. There will be others speaking tonight and in future nights that you can ask specific questions on those. Prosperity Wind will increase the land's annual budget by roughly 20 percent. Prosperity Wind will have no negative impact to residential or agricultural property values in or around the project area. Again, there is a study included in our Special Use Application, and Mr. MaRous is here, who can answer specific questions about it.

Prosperity Wind will create hundreds of jobs during construction here in Piatt County. Prosperity Wind's decommissioning plan and the decommissioning security will provide security to the county and to participating landowners now and into the future.

And last, and I think this is important to flag, because it's work that we have and has been figured out recently, Prosperity Winds in Piatt County have already led to an increase in broadband internet access; and in the future, when the project
is completed, it will expand that dramatically.
So what does that mean? How can that be if we haven't actually started construction yet in Piatt County?

So, I want to unpack that a little bit for all of you in the room.

Prosperity Wind has contracted with Pavlov Media, who is a broadband internet supplier in the region. They have done a lot of work in Piatt County. They know exactly where our facilities are going to go. As part of the construction process, we lay fiber in our collection line that runs from every turbine back to the O\&M building, substation, and the ADLS lighting system. So that allows us to turn the lights on and off; and when the ADLS system is working, it allows us to control the turbines.

Knowing where we are going to be installing these facilities, Pavlov has taken the proactive step of installing broadband in the county in some of those areas.

So, currently, 44 households in Piatt County that did not have broadband access already have access to broadband. When we are done with construction, an additional two hundred and roughly
fifteen or so households will have access to broadband in the county.

You know, I won't pretend to be an expert in broadband internet access. I know it's critical to my life. I couldn't exist without it. I know it is critical to rural communities in Illinois and across the county. There are efforts to expand access. We are working to identify ways to expand access not only in our project area but across the entirety of Piatt County to the extent that we can. Next slide, please.

Prosperity Wind is committed to be here in Piatt County. Our investment to date nearly exceeds $\$ 160$ million. We plan to own and operate this project for the long-term. We are committed to building a world-class project in Piatt County and being a good partner to Piatt County, its residents, our participating landowners, and other organizations across the region for the life of the project.

Next slide, please.
So what does that mean in community
benefits?
Our project will bring nearly $\$ 370$ million
in direct economic benefits to Piatt County. So, in lease payments to participating landowners, that will be over $\$ 210$ million for the life of the project. As I mentioned earlier, $\$ 93.5$ million in payments to the county. As far as jobs during operations in the O\&M building, that is about $\$ 1.2$ million annually. During construction that is another $\$ 32.7$ million. And just our building permit fees alone to Piatt County will be over $\$ 430,000$.

Next slide.
So, in summary, and I think what I really want to drive in to the end of this conversation is that there is certainty that was not there before. We understand where our project is located. We understand the impact that it will have for the life of the project. This project is roughly a \$550 million capital investment in Piatt County, $\$ 370$ million in direct impact to the county.

There is significant landowner support. 92 agreements, 19,000 acres in the project area have chosen to participate in the project, over 211 parcels. 14,200 acres are chosen to participate that are outside the main body of the project area. When you look at that total amount of
participating acres, we are only going to impact 39 acres of property. Again, 39 acres.

All of this will remain zoned ag, and all of this will be restored at the end of the project. Our project complies with the WECS ordinance and all of its requirements in Piatt County. And under state law and Piatt County law, if an application satisfies all the requirements of a state law and local ordinance, the application shall be approved.

Thank you for your time this evening. Thank you, board, for the opportunity to speak.

I'm happy to address any questions you may have.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Moore.

It is 6:21. The board is going to take a five-minute recess during which time Mr. Wax and Mr. Chambers, the only board members present this evening, at least to this point, will have the opportunity to just consider Mr. Moore's testimony and determine if they have any questions for Mr. Moore. After the ZBA asks questions of this witness, then we'll open it up to other folks who
may have questions for this gentleman.
So the board is going to be in recess for five minutes. It is 6:22. Be back in five minutes. (IN RECESS.)
(JIM HARRINGTON JOINED DURING BREAK.)
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, do you understand that you remain under oath?

MR. MOORE: Yes, I do.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
It is time for questions of Mr. Alan Moore.

First, members of the Zoning Board of Appeals, Mr. Wax, Mr. Chambers, Mr. Harrington, do you have questions for this witness?

MR. WAX: Will, do you have any?
MR. CHAMBERS: The downside of a shorter break is not enough prep time. Some of my questions would be more on the revenue side, which I believe is mostly or primarily Dr. Loomis's report.

Is that something where we can have Dr. Loomis testify to separately, or would I be better off just asking those questions directly to you?

HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Antoniolli?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: You are welcome to ask. Feel free to ask the questions, and then Mr. Moore will answer to the extent that he can. If not, we'll take good notes and make sure that when Dr. Loomis comes up, at a later point, he can answer those questions.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. CHAMBERS:
Q. Very good. So my first question would be, for example, your side on the certainty of revenue to Piatt County. The charts there, that breaks everything out into some different categories a little bit.

Some of the discussions we had last time around, there is/was a little bit of confusion and back and forth on the modeling and the numbers, how we promulgate in all those numbers for the tax revenue and all that.

So my question would be: Is the modeling for these numbers, is that the same formula that we became familiar with from last time?

Is everything basically the same framework with the new project input?
A. So I think my answer would be that there
is no uncertainty there. The state, as I said, it mandates the valuation per megawatt of installed facility. So, there is a number that is assessed at the county level. We know exactly where every turbine is going to be located, so there is a dollar per megawatt that is applied based on where those turbines are located geographically. We know the 50 turbines that we are building; therefore, it's very easy to get to a total assessed value.

The county has defined taxing districts.

So we have a total revenue -- right? -- divided by taxing districts, which is the tax rate in Piatt County. So, it's no uncertainty there.

Again, $I$ am not an expert. Dr. Loomis is here. He can talk more broadly about that.

But from my standing, again, what we've tried to do in this process is drive back to certainty, right?

We know the turbines we want to build. We know the assessed value of those turbines. We know that the tax value for Piatt County, and we were -again, we know the taxing districts, right? So, we know the tax rate, the taxing districts and the value, and that gives us a total.
Q. Okay. I think some of what I am thinking back to in the economic impact report is the modeling which included the employment impact, and some of that was tied into tax revenue and other things as well. That was where my question about the modeling is tied into there.

MS. ANTONIOLLI: Yeah. And for those specific questions, about the modeling, we'll have Dr. Loomis answer them because he's the one that used the modeling to produce the report.

MR. CHAMBERS: Sure.
MS. ANTONIOLLI: Thank you.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. WAX:
Q. I had a question. Mr. Moore, I believe you indicated that the data provided are pretty well examined, you know exactly what has happened, the cost values that you presented, and I would like to get a clarification.

Are these good estimates or close estimates due to modeling and the big picture, or are they examples?

Because during the presentation in the application, this Section 1 of the assumptions made

```
    is that no comprehensive tax payment was actually
    calculated. These calculations are only to be used
    to illustrate the economic impact of the project.
    And I guess what I am saying is: Can this
    pie chart or thing show where various monies are?
    I get the impression that they are
    probably not exactly correct, but it's close. Would
    that be correct?
```

    A. To my understanding, it is exactly
    correct. Again, the state -- there is no person in
    -- for renewable energy projects in Illinois, there
    is not an assessor that goes out and assesses the
    value, so there is no uncertainty as it relates to
the assessed value of every megawatt of wind turbine
or solar project installed in the state.
We know specifically where the turbines
will be located, so we know assessed value. We know
where the turbines are located. We know the tax
rate in Piatt County. All of that breaks down to
equate to what the total tax implications would be
for Piatt County.
Q. I was referring more to the pie chart in
terms of a certain parentage goes to schools, a
certain parentage goes here and goes there.

It's my understanding that this is a fairly dynamic process that changes within the county, from county to county, from year to year, and the numbers might not be exactly right. Is that correct?

I get the impression it is probably close, but I'll accept what you have there.
A. Well, what $I$ can say is that, you know, again, we don't control the taxing districts or their boundaries in Piatt County. So, the county has decided and divided up by taxing districts, and so that is how we've divvied up the taxing districts.
Q. Thank you. I did have a question from the past, and it seems like there has been two or three different views and a couple different views at one point in time.

If the school -- if a school gets extra outside money, in certain circumstances, then they would not receive as much state money.

But the last meeting we had it was absolutely that is not the case versus others thinking it is.

Now, in the same report, the report on

```
page 17, it indicated that out of this tax for a
school district is more complicated and it goes
through a slightly different version of this sort of
thing.
Do you have any idea of what that was all
``` about?
A. Again, I am not an expert in this. That is why we have Dr. Loomis here. What I can say is that every school district -- so it's Blue Ridge and DeLand-Weldon that will receive tax revenue from this project and are both Tier III or Level III schools, so neither school district will see a reduction in state financing based on our projects being constructed and operated.
Q. My interpretation of the reading of this thing was that there will probably be some reductions but the added revenue that will come in will more than offset that. So I've read different words as I read through the leases, and this template at least, I got the impression that, okay, this is -- the payments start out a certain way, and they decrease by a percent until they get down to 30 percent of what they started with for 30 years, but most of the leases -- I believe the leases give
```

    you the option of having two ten-year additional
    periods if you wish to have them, two exemptions.
    I am curious, what happens at that point
    in terms of taxes? Do you know that?
    A. I guess I am not really sure of the
    question. I mean you are talking about several
    different, very different things. So one is our
    relationship and lease agreement that we would have
    with a landowner, right?
    Q. Yes.
    A. That has nothing to do with tax payments
    that go to the county.
    Q. I am not talking about the growth. I am
    talking about taxes coming in generally.
    A. Sure.
    Q. In any case, taxes will come in to the
        county and will last for 30 years. It does not say
    anything about what happens should you decide to
    enact your option of two 10-year periods, so you
    could run these things for 20 years.
            Do you know what is typically done when
        there have been other experiences? The company, in
        terms of taxes, are you taxed for the additional
        20 years?
    ```
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. At what do they roughly continue at? The 30 percent level?
A. I can't speak to the 30 percent level.
Q. Okay. But you anticipate there would be some additional taxes coming in?

\section*{A. Absolutely.}

MR. WAX: Okay. Jim, do you have anything?

MR. HARRINGTON: No.
HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions from the ZBA for Mr. Moore?

All right. We will now open it up to questions from members of units of local government, including school districts.

Any questions for Mr. Moore including units of local government, including school districts?

Questions for Mr. Moore from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys?

Just for my information, do we have any other licensed attorneys besides the three seated at this table, Mr. Keyt and myself?

Very good.

Questions from other interested parties?
This is the time for questions from the general public, those who are opposed to the application or those who are neutral on the application?

Ms. Coil, I see your hand in the air. You may step forward.

This time, since Mr. Luetkehans isn't here, you may have a seat here or, if you would like, you can stand and adjust the microphone.

Before you start, I am just going to read something with respect to the questioning of witnesses by the general public:

This questioning of a witness is called cross-examination.

We are only going to allow persons who are neutral on the issue or those opposed to the position to be a witness.

They will be allowed to conduct cross-examination. So, in other words, if you are on the same side of the issue as the witness, you won't be allowed to question them. This type of questioning is known, in legal terms, as bolstering. It is not truly adversary testimony of a witness's
testimony. So, therefore, only persons who are neutral on the issue, or persons on the opposite side of the particular witness will be allowed to question that witness.

So basic rules concerning asking witnesses questions:

First and foremost, please allow the person speaking to finish his or her statement or question.

We have a court reporter, Holly, transcribing everything that is said. She cannot possibly do her job if two people are talking at the same time. Therefore, just one person can speak at a time.

Another thing, if you are questioning a witness, please stick to just asking questions. I know it's very tempting to start telling your side on a particular point; but when it's time for questioning, just ask questions. You'll be allowed the opportunity to testify when it's your turn.

Also, making a prolonged statement and then asking a witness "does that sound about right" is not really a question. Those type of statements or testimony while you are asking questions will
also not be allowed.
Now, Ms. Coil, you know the rules from last time, and I recall you very well and your questions. Before you ask questions of Mr. Moore, I would like for you to please state your name and spell your first and last name for the record.

MS. COIL: Claudia Coil, C-l-a-u-d-i-a C-o-i-l, from Mansfield, Illinois.

HEARING OFFICER: Mansfield is in Piatt County, correct?

MS. COIL: It is.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good, Ms. Coil. You may ask questions, and the questions of Mr. Moore have to relate to his testimony in his presentation and then his testimony upon questioning from the ZBA. You may go right ahead now.

EXAMINATION
BY MS. COIL:
Q. I have two questions. First question of the location of the turbines: How many are on residence's land? And how many are absentee owners?
A. Off the top of my head, I don't have that number with me, but we can certainly find that out.
Q. I would appreciate that.
A. But what I can say, to reiterate, is that every acre that is included in our Special Use Permit Application that will host facilities has a landowner, landowners or family, that has chosen to participate in the project.
Q. My second question: What happens to the leases that a turbine will not be on that land?

Are those held for you to use at another time, possibly?

Are they potentially sold?
What happens?
A. Our commitment to every participating acre doesn't change whether or not, like, they receive a turbine or don't receive a turbine. Many factors, as I said, go into designing a wind project, transmission capacity, wind resource, participating acreage. As I said, this process is highlighting the parcels and the acreage that are receiving facilities, but long-term our commitment to the landowners who don't receive those facilities doesn't change.
Q. So could you potentially have another project where those leases are located?
A. I guess my answer would be there is a lot,
as I said, that goes into the design of a project, much of which is tied to the resource and location of a parcel near an existing facility.

What I can say is this is our project in Piatt County. There's no surprises there. We are not trying to sneak anything in or plan anything long-term. So our commitment to those landowners stands, and we are excited for their participation. They are excited about the project, and we are excited to get this project constructed and operating.

MS. COIL: Okay. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Coil.
Are there any other questions for
Mr. Moore from members of the audience?
Yes, sir. Please step forward.
Good evening, sir. Could you please state your name, spelling first and last name for the record?

MR. SRUD: Sure. Bruce Paulsrud, P-a-u-l-s-r-u-d.

HEARING OFFICER: You may go ahead and question Mr. Moore.

BY MR. PAULSRUD:
Q. Three questions, actually, Mr. Moore. I didn't -- I was a little bit late, and I didn't hear. Will this system, like, when we discussed these back in November, will this have an automatic system when it detects lights that are approaching the field, the lights will then go on? Is that accurate?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So, long question. Get ready. Based on the current flight volume and the current flight patterns, what percentage of the time will the red blinking lights be on during the timeframe two hours before dusk and four hours after?

MR. HESS: I am going to object. First of all, that is beyond the scope of his direct. He is not an expert.

Second of all, \(I\) think it calls for speculation. I don't think he knows flight patterns.

I don't mean to be disrespectful, but any of the information that was premise to that information -- third -- I don't see how it's relevant to this application because it's not one of
the requirements of the WECS Ordinance of Piatt County. That is information that is not required. Information about an ADLS certainly is. That is why I didn't object to the first question, but flight pattern and flight traffic is not relevant to this application.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hess, do you have a witness who can speak to the question?

I understand that Mr. Moore is not an
expert on ALD -- ADLS. If \(I\) want to call it ALDS, that is the American League Division Series in baseball. It's just like the FAA, sometimes it gets called the FFA in hearings.

Now, Mr. Hess, do you have a witness who would be able to speak to that issue that Mr. Paulsrud just addressed?

MR. HESS: We do not have a witness, an expert witness, on ADLS or flight info because that was not -- flight info was not required in the WECS Ordinance, so it's not addressed in our permit, which is why we don't have an expert here tonight. HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good.

I am going to, at this time, sustain the objection. 1.) It's beyond the scope of his
knowledge. And 2.) The question may not be entirely -- I understand what you are getting at. It is relevant about the flashing lights. I am not so sure that it's relevant with respect to the percentage of the time.

So, if you could, either rephrase or ask another question.

MR. PAULSRUD: Sure.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
BY MR. PAULSRUD:
Q. One point I meant to lead with: There will be two turbines within a half mile of my residence. There will be five within one mile, and it looks like about thirteen within two miles of my residence, so that question is very relevant to me, but I understand.

Second question: So, there is a lay-down yard. In my experience, in what \(I\) have seen from other lay-down yards, it's a concrete plant. There are stadium lights. It's a central hub for getting everything built, and I get that. We need that. Lots of traffic 24/7.

How many acres are involved?
And how much light pollution will come
from that?
That is two miles directly north of my residence, by the way.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We can't hear back here.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hess, you if you can, use the microphone. It extends up.

MR. HESS: I would respectfully object to the second part of the question. I believe, if I understood the first part of the question, it had to do with the location of the lay-down yard, and Mr. Moore spoke to the map and the overall layout. I think that is a perfectly appropriate question, but asking about light pollution or asking about construction are beyond the scope of Mr. Moore's direct examination here today.

I will note Mr. Carlson is up next to talk about project construction.

But, in any event, those types of questions would be beyond the scope of Mr. Moore's testimony, so I would object to the second half of that question.

HEARING OFFICER: All right. Your objection with respect to the second half of the
```

    question is sustained.
    What we are going to do is, Mr. Paulsrud,
    if you could, ask that question of Mr. Carlson when
    it's his term.
    Now, the first part of the question, do
    you remember the first part of the question, or do
    you want Holly to read it back?
    BY MR. PAULSRUD:
    Q. So describe for me what a lay-down yard
    is.
    A. Sure. Yeah. And, again, I'll reiterate
    that Adam will be up next. He is the project
    manager.
    Q. Tonight?
    A. Tonight, yes. And he can give you all the
    details.
    Q. Sure.
    A. The lay-down yard is the location where
    the trailers are to be based out of, where materials
    will be held, and will be adjacent to the concrete
    batch plant, and so that is a location where the
effort, the local effort, leaves out.
Q. It looks like that is just north of
Route 150?

```
A. Yes.
Q. Based on the map?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Okay. Sorry. One more.
A. Sure.
Q. Mr. Wax already addressed this question, but -- so, from the poster, there is 58.5 million in total revenue over the life of the project. The projected life project \(I\) think is around 30 years.
A. (Nodding.)
Q. Okay.

HEARING OFFICER: Is that a yes,
Mr. Moore?
MR. MOORE: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER: Proceed.
BY MR. PAULSRUD:
Q. If the school district's budget is X -and I am making up a percentage here -- but if the revenue that comes in from this to the school district makes up 20 percent of the school district's budget -- again, making up a percentage -- why would the State of Illinois -- and this may be best for Dr. Loomis -- why would the State of Illinois continue to then overfund the
```

school districts?

```

Because that is what it would be doing. Whatever percentage comes in from this puts them above the current level of funding, and I am not a great fan of the budgetary processes of Illinois, but I can't believe they would continue to overfund. So, I really wonder about this.
A. Sure. Again, this is a better question for Dr. Loomis. He can speak ad nauseam about very detailed specifics of it. I am not an economics or economic professor --
Q. Either am I.
A. -- obviously.

But from my understanding, again, my understanding is the state applies different tiers across where school districts are located. The population, etc., etc.

The two school districts, Blue Ridge and DeLand-Weldon, are in the Tier III level, and so what that means is that this incoming tax revenue will not impact their future state fall.

So, again, I am not an expert in it. I can turn over the specific questions to him.

I guess I would go back on one point I
made earlier, in relationship to looking at the future tax revenue for Piatt County, the future tax revenue for school districts, would the tax revenue -- should the school district not prefer having revenue coming from economic development within the school district as opposed to the state level? So that would be a question \(I\) would ask of you.
Q. For 30 years?
A. But, again, your specific answer to your question, \(I\) can't speak to that, but after we get through our presentations Dr. Loomis can answer any of those questions.

MR. PAULSRUD: Thank you.
Can I just make a request, that those two that cannot be answered, as those experts come up, can you reiterate those for me on my behalf?

HEARING OFFICER: I have lay-down yard light pollution issues, and the other was school funding; is that correct?

MR. PAULSRUD: Yes. I still would like the red light question answered because that is very germane to my life.

HEARING OFFICER: Sure. And, Mr. Paulsrud, when we discuss the relevance of
```

testimony or evidence, it may be very relevant to

```
    you and to others in the room; however, the
    relevance has to go to the standards that the board
    has to vote on.
    It's very relevant to you. Yes, sir,
    absolutely, we understand; but whether it's relevant
    to the issue that the board has to decide, that is
    another question.
    But we will have, when other witnesses
    testify, you will be able to come up and ask those
    questions.
    MR. PAULSRUD: Thank you.
    HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, sir.
    Are there other questions for Mr . Moore at
    this time?
    Questions for Mr. Moore from Piatt County
    staff and consultants?
    Redirect, Ms. Antoniolli?
    MS. ANTONIOLLI: Nothing from me, from us.
    HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good.
    Final questions come from the ZBA based
    upon the questions that were asked and Mr. Moore's
    answers to the questions from the general public.
    Does the board have any questions, further
questions, for Mr. Moore?
All right. Very good, Mr. Moore. Thank you for your presentation and your testimony. I know you will be here throughout the hearing. In case we need information from you, you will be subject to recall by the board. Thank you.

MR. MOORE: Absolutely. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Antoniolli, call
your next witness, please.
MS. ANTONIOLLI: Yes. Thank you. Next I would like to call Adam Carlson, Project Manager for Apex. He is going to explain to us tonight how project construction will take place, including road improvements and repairs and the timeline for all of that.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you.
MS. ANTONIOLLI: We'll also take one minute to distribute copies of his presentation just for the convenience of the folks here.

HEARING OFFICER: And are you moving for their admission into the record?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER: Or do you want to do that after he testifies?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: No. Let's do that now while we have a moment and while we are having those distributed.

I would like to move copies of Adam's presentation into the record, and that would be as Applicant's Group Exhibit 7.

HEARING OFFICER: Applicant's 7 is admitted into evidence.

And, Mr. Carlson, if you could, please raise your right hand to be sworn by the court reporter.
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 7 - CARLSON
PRESENTATION.)

\section*{ADAM CARLSON,}
a witness herein, called by the applicant, after having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: Sir, could you please state your name, spelling first and last name for the record?

MR. CARLSON: Adam Carlson, A-d-a-m c-a-r-l-s-o-n.

HEARING OFFICER: And you may proceed with your presentation.

MR. CARLSON: Good evening everyone, Piatt County Zoning Board, Piatt County. Thank you for the opportunity for me to come up here and speak on project construction.

I am Adam Carlson, Project Manager for Apex Clean Energy, Project Manager for Construction of Wind Farms in Illinois.

I want to start off and talk about how small a world it is, and some people might remember this from the last set of hearings. I grew up east of Paxton, so not far away. My dad's side of the family is from Paxton. Even smaller world, my mom's side of the family is from Monticello. Even smaller world than that, my mom recently told me, hey, my first boyfriend in high school was from DeLand. Like, how do you explain that?

With all my family being out here, why am I in Virginia? What brought me to Virginia was the Navy. I have a few steppingstones here to explain how I made it out there, but first and foremost, I left the area after high school, went to the United States Naval Academy.

Normally I am very proud to say I went there, but we had a terrible football game against

Notre Dame the other day, 42 to 3, a blowout, and a lot of people probably saw it because there wasn't much football on. I am going to quickly move on from that.

I served in the Navy eight years after the Naval Academy as a submarine officer. Several Western Pacific deployments. I went out to Pearl Harbor and then was stationed in Virginia after that.

When I got out of the Navy, I worked in nuclear power. That was a natural progression after being a nuclear submarine officer, and in that \(I\) worked at a nuclear power plant doing switchyard improvement, so I got very interested in transmission and distribution.

And while I am working at that company, I looked up Apex Clean Energy, and it's run by a service academy graduate. So at the time, our CEO was a Naval Academy Graduate, our COO was a West Point graduate, and I worked with many other service academy graduates and veterans. I definitely want to put that out there to show the type of people that this industry draws from.

Once I got into the renewal energy sector,
the first project I worked on -- you can't explain this -- but was Ford County Wind Farm. That is where I grew up. It was an amazing opportunity. I worked that project from 2021 to 2022 and then moved on to Goose Creek Wind, we which had all talked about at the end of 2022 and into 2023, and recently I've been working on Lotus Wind, which is near Springfield.

It's a huge blessing for me to come back here when we work project construction, and I want to conclude this slide in saying that I think it's a huge benefit not only for my company but for the county that someone understands both sides of the coin here.

I am a landowner in Ford County. I am a farm manager for a corn and soybean farm and a member of the Illinois Farm Bureau, so I understand the agricultural piece, and I understand where a lot of the questions come from, and I also understand the project management piece of building a wind farm.

My goal is always to put all the right people together to talk to make sure this project runs as efficiently as possible.

Next slide, please.
Last time I was here there was a lot of questions from this ZBA about where are you with this, where are you with road agreements, where are you with drainage agreements, where are you with foundation, well drawdown.

I am pleased to present that we have been hard at work since the Goose Creek public hearings. We have all of these things I have listed here that are complete.

Our civil design, that is all of our private access roads out to all of our foundations; all of that is complete, issued for construction.

Our foundation design is issued for construction.

We completed a well drawdown analysis where the batch plant will be located.

We have a project layout and transportation plan as part of our road use agreements, and that is included in the application.

We have a 73-page farmland drainage plan also included in the application.

We have a lot of reports that we have included in the application that were complete since
the Goose Creek public hearings.
Next slide, please.
Construction sequence. So the reality is we need to get to work, and we want to put people to work, and I want to do that as quickly as possible. The earliest we could do that is the end of this year. That would be public road upgrades and crossroad culvert replacements through the winter. I know that seems like an odd time, but I am also considerate of the impact to the farming community. We want to try to fit work in, in different windows, around planting and harvest as best we can. So that work would run over the winter, and we would be set up for success come springtime, come planting.

Once we build out all of our public roads, and that work is out far enough ahead, then we can start building out our private access roads to then get to pouring concrete for our foundations.

And that is when all the activities start happening in parallel. You have the collection system runs that are being trenched in through the fields, you have the substation work and transmission line work.

Next summer we intend to deliver the
turbines. It's not ideal to be delivering them so early. We need to have our pads ready.

There is an example. There is a picture you have there. This is a V162 job that I went up to in Michigan that is the exact turbine that we installed here in Piatt County.

So we need to have adequate lay-down space for each turbine site. So that would run over the summer, and then turbine installation usually follows two weeks to a month after that.

And then, to wrap up our project construction, it would be wind turbine mechanical completion, which is a large series of checklists and single commissioning. So that would be November and December of 2024. This job needs to be a 2024 build.

Road use agreements, we touched on this a little bit tonight. A quick recap of the last time I was here: We didn't have any road use agreements agreed to. They weren't substantially complete. But after the zoning board hearings and before the Piatt County Board hearing, we had met with Eric Seibring, we met with Blue Ridge County Road Commissioner, Goose Creek Township Road

Commissioner, and attorneys, we had met with engineers as well on both sides, and we went through a marathon, day-long negotiation at Mr. Seibring's office. This was a very productive day for both parties, and by the time the Piatt County Board voted on the project, the road use agreements had already been -- were also voted on that night, and they were unanimously approved.

I am pleased to say that the road agreements from Goose Creek Wind are the same road agreements that we have for Prosperity Wind.

And here are a few stats up there that have road permit fees listed, service repair payments, and annual fees. The road permit fees and service repair -- actually, all three of these, they are split out based on how many miles of road are in each jurisdiction.

Blue Ridge is the largest, has the largest roads in the project. I would say it's -- there's more miles of road in this project than there are turbines. So it is a very costly upgrade, but it will be a fantastic improvement for the local community.

Here recently, just two weeks ago, I was
out here on vacation and held a meeting with Piatt County officials and with Champaign Asphalt, and I am pleased to present publicly we would be working with them via direct contract for all of the road upgrades. They have done great work for us in the past. They have done great work here in Piatt County.

If you guys have driven down State Route 10 coming off of \(I 72\), they did that public road this last summer. They do a phenomenal job.

This picture up here is from Ford County Wind Farm. That is after they did their chip-and-seal work. I drove through that project after, several months after it was built to come down here for hearings and took that picture. We have full faith in them. They are going to do a great job for us and for the community for the long-term.

This slide, I wanted to finish by saying that --

These pillars. Man, they are getting -- I don't even know if \(I\) can see everything.

So the county and township road improvements are made by the project. Taxpayers pay
    zero dollars.

I will say a few more things here. So, the service repair payment piece, that's just all of the chip and seal that will happen after the project, so that could happen even in 2025 , or any asphalt paving that needs to happen on county roads.

This doesn't even encompass the amount of money it will take to upgrade all of the roads just to get the heavier equipment onsite. That is a whole different set of work and scope of work, but that is what that meeting was for a few weeks ago, and we have finalized that scope of work. I am pleased to present that. We are way ahead of the game here.

Next slide.
Okay. Another theme that was asked of me a lot during the Goose Creek public hearings was about drainage, public drainage, private drainage.

Since the Goose Creek hearings, we have developed a farmland drainage plan. There is a picture here on the right. It shows an example of us crossing a drainage ditch in the DeLand Special Drainage District.

All ditches, all of the public tile, that
will be bored under. Using our collection system, we will bore underneath that.

I have a -- you really can't see it, but there is another snip here that shows how deep that -- how deep under we'll bore, under a drainage ditch or like a wetland area -- sorry -- not wetland, a ditch, a drainage ditch. It will be five feet at a minimum, and any district drainage tile will be at two feet at a minimum.

We also have many other reports that we compiled as part of a natural resource impact assessment. One of those is a hydrology study prepared by Westwood. This hydrology study shows the impact of our wind farm construction from all of our permanent facilities, so where all the access roads are, all the turbines, substation, and it shows and proves that we will maintain adequate water and adequate drainage around our turbine foundations, around our access roads. That is just one piece of this.

Another piece is a study prepared by HLR Engineering. They have been helping significantly with all the public road upgrades in engineering. They prepared a crossroad study. They looked at
every single culvert in the project area and have recommended how to either upsize or what should be replaced in, like, an older culvert's place. All the culverts will be replaced with concrete culverts.

We also have included foundation and civil design construction standards.

I don't want to go to the next slide until I mention that we have been working with a local consultant to compile all of this information about where all of our crossings will be. That group is KCoe Isom. We have been working with Quint Shambaugh who has been extremely helpful. We have been able to take all of these locations, put them in our designs, and then share that via the farmland drainage plan to the community.

Next slide, please.
So I mainly just focused on public drain tile the last slide. We get a lot of questions about private land drain tile.

So during installation of the collection system, we will cut a trench to a field, and it will be using a trenching machine. We would be plowing the field because you can pull drain tile that way.

It is much easier to just trench through. We'll cut through drain tile, private drain tile. Usually repaired within one business day. Could be even quicker than that, and all of these locations will be documented via GPS.

We are committed to life-of-project
repairs for any drain tile strikes, and this exceeds the requirements of AIMA.

I have one picture there in the middle, down at the bottom, that shows what a trench would look like. And then, on the left, is drain tile. It shows the coupler. We would repair anywhere from five to ten feet either side of the centerline of the trench. And it could be -- we would have to estimate. It could be 1 to 2000 drain strikes, but all of our landowners know this, and they know that we are committed to repairing.

Let's see. I think that is all \(I\) have on the private land drainage.

Next slide.
Another topic I had a lot of questions asked of me, it was about the Mahomet Aquifer. This picture here shows the extent of the Mahomet Aquifer. That is in teal color on the edge of

Mansfield, and then you'll see a purple rectangle that shows where our lay-down yard batch plant will be.

The batch plant, to make the concrete, will need to draw water. So, to do that, we need to install a well. We have been working with a local drilling company out of Downs, that is Kickapoo Drilling Company, and we talked about it here briefly before. The location of that batch plant is going to be near the intersection of US 150 around 900 East.

We've also, in the meantime, done a well drawdown analysis of how we might have impacted the Mahomet Aquifer. This comes straight out of that report. The project can be expected to have minimal impact on the Mahomet Aquifer.

I have the engineer's, just a snip of the front page of this report and the licensed Illinois engineer and their stamp on it.

One important thing to note about this well, it's temporary. Just like a lay-down yard. It's a temporary installation, and then we move or abandon that well in place.

Next slide, please.

So, our construction contractor, this is another thing that we haven't changed since Goose Creek. We are still going to be working with White Construction, a subsidiary of IEA. They are a union contractor in the state of Illinois and have already built 27 wind farms in the last 10 or 15 years. We know that they are the best choice for this due to their experience in Illinois but also building projects of similar size and output. White and their subcontractors will complete pretty much all of the work with the exception of the public road improvements.

Next slide.
And this is my last slide here. You might have been surprised. Well, there could have been other things I could have talked about, but I am trying to address a lot of the concerns and questions that were brought up in the last ZBA hearing about road use agreements, drainage, and Mahomet Aquifer impacts.

I definitely am just one of those people; I definitely pride myself on communicating with people, with the community. You can expect us as a company to communicate frequently, timely, and
openly.
This shows very little on, like, how many meetings we will have on any given day, week or month, but the ones in which will bring the community in and involve them could be plan-of-the-day calls of meetings, weekly road use meetings. We'll have status of roadwork distributed to the community and impacted landowners via e-mail and the website or even talk to them. We have learned it's very important to have an open line of communication with the local school bus garage and also with the farmers who will be out on the road just like us as they are working through planting and harvest.

We have a dedicated site team that is here throughout the entire project. We'll have a construction manager, assistant site manager, electrical inspector, and safety professional, at a minimum, on site all the time, along with our contractors and subcontractors.

They will all be at the lay-down yard, which is off US 150. Our office will be clearly identified. You will be able to -- anyone can come in and ask questions or if they have a concern.

If our site can't address or \(I\) can't address it, we have a great public engagement team that will do a fantastic job of addressing any questions or concerns.

I want to finish my presentation, in saying that Piatt County, central Illinois, it is a very special place that is a very special place in my heart. I love coming back here.

The land not only produces for agricultural reasons, but you can also farm the wind. You are also sitting on a massive aquifer. This is a very special place, and I think it's very important to note and try to look at it this way, that this wind farm can produce so much power for the greater good for the local communities around here, for your own community and for the state of Illinois.

I am one of those people that I am going to give it 110 percent no matter what I do. I hope to demonstrate that and hopefully already have, but I am really excited to work with everybody, and I am ready to put people to work. I really want us to get moving. We've got to start this project at the end of this year. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you,
Mr. Carlson.

The board is going to take a recess for about ten minutes. It's 7:20 p.m. We'll come back around 7:30 give or take. The board is in recess right now.
(HEARING IN RECESS.)
HEARING OFFICER: Back on the record.
Mr. Carlson has made his presentation. It is now time for questions.

Mr. Carlson, do you understand that you remain under oath?

MR. CARLSON: Yes, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you.
The first questions for Adam Carlson come from members of the Piatt County ZBA, the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Any questions?
EXAMINATION
BY MR. WAX:
Q. I have one from the well that you are putting in. Did you do some studies?

You mentioned the not much drawdown from the Mahomet Aquifer because you are not really in
```

it; but from the surrounding area, do you have some
studies that indicate how much drawdown or how it
affects wells of people that live within, one, two
or three hundred miles?

```
A. Yes, sir. That is all included in the well drawdown report. It's a pretty short report. It shows impact to our adjacent landowners and that's the intent of the report even above and beyond what we were trying to convey showing the potential impact of the Mahomet Aquifer.

But I want to clear something up that I said earlier. I mentioned about abandoning the well in place; that is not accurate. We would be removing the well at the end of construction. Again, it's a temporary well only used for the batch plant and water trucks. There would be very minimal impact for adjacent wells.

If we looked at that slide in the picture, you could see where the adjacent wells are that they ran the study off of.
Q. So there is some drawdown, but it's recoverable?
A. It's recoverable. Yes. The report even goes into that detail, the recovery of the adjacent
landowner wells.
Q. From your well site, did you find that you could get ample or adequate water supply for what you need?
A. Yes, sir. Yep. Yep.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

\section*{A. You're welcome.}

MR. WAX: That's all.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. CHAMBERS:
Q. I have another question for you. So you talk a little about it being a small world with Paxton and everything, so I'll go small world on you a little bit here. So the wind farm around Paxton, Pioneer Trail, which was built by White Construction, that was built in 2012. Here in February there was a turbine there that fell over without explanation. They have been doing some investigating to see if they can figure out the cause of that.

My question would be on the engineering side, what your opinion is on that and what, if any you have, cause and concerns surrounding that. MR. HESS: Are you finished, Mr. Chambers?

MR. CHAMBERS: Yes.

MR. HESS: I would respectfully object to part of Mr. Chambers' question. I would object to part of Mr. Chambers' question. With all due respect, a question about safety or what the construction designs are as they relate to safety is, of course, appropriate and we would have no objection.

But a question about a Pioneer Wind project violates Mr. Kains' instruction at the outset, "Questions not related to the Prosperity Wind Application will not be permitted." So any reference to the Pioneer Wind project, we would respectfully object to.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hess, is Pioneer an Apex project?

MR. HESS: To my knowledge, no, sir.
MR. CHAMBERS: It was constructed by White Construction, the contractor.

HEARING OFFICER: Yeah. Uh-huh. I am going to overrule the objection and caution Mr. Carlson.

You may answer the question with respect to safety with respect to this project. And if you

1 have knowledge of the Pioneer project referenced in
2 Mr. Chambers' questions, I am going to ask that you
3 do respond to that part of the question as well.

4 So, first, the overall safety question.

MR. CARLSON: Yes, sir. To answer on the safety piece, we have a first-rate foundation design included in our application by RRC Engineering, and these designs are issued for construction, so that is the design.

We have been out here several times to do geo tech and backed those results up with further geo tech, so I am 100 percent confident in our foundation design here.

That goes into what I think might have happened at the Pioneer project. I am aware of the Pioneer project. I am aware of what happened there. Very close to home. Very close to the line that we have in my family. I don't know exactly what happened, though; but we could only assume it's something foundation failure related. That won't happen here.

HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions for
Mr. Carlson?
Mr. Harrington?

BY MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. So, back to the aquifer -- not aquifer -well, for the batch plant.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Out of curiosity, is there any intent for performance to local residents if there is a loss of water?
A. Can you repeat the question?
Q. I said, in reference to the batch plant, that area, or surrounding it, the local residents, I understand your study. But what I am saying is, if there were to be local residents who lost water, is there any intent to make performance for them?
A. We will have to look at this on a case-by-case basis because any impact will be temporary. It depends on the time of day. It depends on -- and we are going to be talking to all these landowners that are adjacent, and people might come to us.

I agree with you. I think what you are trying to get at is, like, a report is a report, but like when we actually get out there and do the work --
Q. Yeah.
A. -- it could be a different drawdown than we would normally see in the report. Yeah.
Q. All I was going to say is the report states multiple times simulated, right?
A. Simulated, correct.
Q. What \(I\) am saying in my capacity is the folks that it affects, is there any intent to solve it if it becomes a problem?
A. I would say we address every landowner's concern seriously.
Q. That's good. I think those local residents that could be affected should be considered in this matter.
A. Yes, sir.

MR. HARRINGTON: That's all on the water, but can I ask some other questions?

HEARING OFFICER: Absolutely. BY MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. So you may or may not have this; I understand. But just, since we were talking water, do you know the gallons per hour outtake when you are up and running with your batch plant?
A. Not per hour, not off the top of my head.
Q. That's fine. Can you let us know?
A. Yes. Yes. I think it's in the report. I just don't remember the number.
Q. Okay.
A. It actually might reference gallons per minute instead of hour.
Q. Okay. In regards to -- so, we were talking water. Maybe shift gears a little bit and go to drainage. You mentioned -- correct me if \(I\) am wrong. Make sure I got this right. Your intent in regards to your collection lines, if I heard that right --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- was to trench versus a wheel-style tiling machine; is that correct?
A. That is correct. Yes, trenching.
Q. So, in addition to that, if and when you find a tile you have crossed, damaged, and/or heaved up --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- you intend to repair it five to ten feet on either side?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. So how is this going to work
physically if you are trenching?
How are you going to know what you did or did not contact?
A. So, most of the parcels -- we have all the private landowner tile. That is the biggest help. That is what we need to see, and so then we work with the landowner.
Q. Uh-huh.
A. And we'll know what to expect and where. There have been times when you see pooling of water in a different area of the field or something. We always address all of those issues as well because, if there is a drainage issue, it might not be exactly where we trench. Like, it could be further out in the field. So we look at all of those, all of those incidences.
Q. Right. So, maybe to dig into this a little deeper, my concern is -- and correct me here if \(I\) am wrong, but in your trenching design, you will essentially have a large shank either being pulled or pushed through the field; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir. Like a big wheel that is trenching through the field. Yes, sir.
Q. So you are not trenching; you are going to
go back to a wheel design you think maybe. So, in
    regards to your collection line --
A. To retrieve -- yeah, a trenching machine.
Q. I guess maybe let's simplify it. Will the trench be open, or will it always be closed?
A. It will be open, so then we can see where we need to make our repairs.
Q. So, good. So who is going to manage that part of it? Is it one of your subcontractors or in-house?
A. Subcontractors, yes, that are specifically there just for drain tile repairs. That is their expertise.
Q. I gotcha. So is that the -- I think you
listed some of them, right? KCoe Iso; is that them?
A. KCoe Isom.
Q. So that is them?
A. It's not them. They are the consultant for the engineering side of it to locate all the crossings.
Q. Can you identify who that would be?
A. I can't.
Q. Can you let us know?
A. I can let you know. Yes.
Q. Very good. So, I guess, in regards to drainage, have you gained agreement from the effected drainage districts with your plan?
A. We have not -- we are not required to.

MR. HESS: I am sorry to interrupt. I am going to object to that because under the new law, the new law is explicit --

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We can't hear you. MR. HESS: Respectfully, Mr. Harrington, I am going to object. The new law is explicit. Subsection \(T\) of Public Act 102-1123 passed on January 27 of this year says, "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a facility owner when siting approval of a county to construct commercial wind energy facility is authorized to cross or impact a drainage system, including but not limited to drainage tiles, open drainage districts, culverts, the water gathering vaults owned or under the control of the drainage district, under the Illinois Drainage Code, without obtaining prior agreement or approval from the drainage district."

So it's a new law, Mr. Harrington. You probably did not know that. Under the old law we were certainly required to get such an agreement.

Under the new law, we are not. So it's not relevant. Respectfully, I think it's a misleading question.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Hess.
Mr. Harrington, what was your question?
MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah. I asked if he had gained agreement from the applicable drainage districts.

HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That objection is sustained, so ask another question if you want.

MR. CARLSON: I would like to speak on that, though. Though we don't need an agreement, we are still honoring our commitment of what we presented to the drainage districts and what the requirements of construction standards would be under that agreement.

So, I think that is good news. I know it's not required, but we were going to be doing the same style and type of construction under all district drainage tile, all the district ditches. BY MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. Okay.
A. And that's been from a coordinated effort using consulting efforts from KCoe, and it will be
just in the best interest of the drainage commissioners and of the public that when we get out there that we have everyone involved. That is what we need. We need to have everyone involved to locate these drain tiles precisely, like, down to the inch so that there is no damage.
Q. I agree. I agree. Have you had contact with the drainage districts?
A. Yes, sir. We have been trying to reach them for over a year now.
Q. Are you getting any response?
A. Here recently we have only been going through KCoe to reach out to the drainage commissioners.
Q. Okay. Very good.
A. But we have everything we need right now for the engineering side of it.
Q. Okay. I hear ya.

Okay. On your last slide, I think you mentioned about site team construction site managers, dedicated site managers, public engagement team. I guess, could you maybe delineate a little bit in a hypothetical situation: You're up and running of a day, a local resident has a complaint.
I don't know what it is. Dusty road, too much
traffic. How would they ever navigate that? How do
they know who to get ahold of? How does that work?
A. So, first and foremost, easy access to our website, so people would know, like, first and foremost who to contact; but I like to put it out in this setting that anyone can go to the land, to the lay-down yard, they will see the Apex trailer, and go in and ask a question. Every time I've been onsite at a farm, there are people in and out, in and out all day long, touching base, asking questions.
Q. Right.
A. And a lot of people end up, like, they like being involved in the whole process and seeing the wind farm built, too.
Q. I gotcha. I guess you talk about the website. Is there any intent to provide that information through a mailer or something to the local residents? How do you expect to proceed there? I understand you can go to the lay-down yard.
A. I personally can't answer that. Yeah. I don't know that. Yeah. I don't want to put
anything on the record --
Q. Okay.
A. There is going to be an avenue to get ahold of everybody, and probably multiple avenues I would say.
Q. Okay. And I would assume the same situation, if a problem or, God forbid, an accident occurred, same recourse?
A. Yes, sir. Yep.
Q. Okay. All right. I guess, if we think about this, it's going to be a busy area, a lot of traffic, and you are going to have a lot of roads in transition, to put it mildly, right?
A. (Nodding.)
Q. How is the traffic controlled? Who is going to be in charge of that?
A. So traffic is -- traffic control is initially run by the company doing all the road upgrades, so Open Roads, Champaign Asphalt. And then, when turbine deliveries come in, so our largest components, traffic control would shift to the contractor, White Construction.
Q. White?
A. Yep. We also have a traffic impact
```

analysis that is part of the engineering for the
road use agreements that is critical in any wind farm development.

```

MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. That's all I got. HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions for Mr. Carlson from the board?

Mr. Chambers?
EXAMINATION
BY MR. CHAMBERS:
Q. One quick one from the last time we discussed the road improvements. I can't remember exactly how this came up, but power line clearance, so some of the road improvements to upgrade things to a higher rate rating, if it would include for the applicant's -- a significant amount of material for the farmers in the area -- clearance for power lines can be an issue with some equipment. Is there anything that you have planned out sufficiently so that the power lines are accurately measured and raised to the appropriate levels before that road service is actually changed and raised up to a different level?
A. Yes, sir. Yeah. That is a major consideration of the contractor. Whether they have
to do a line drop or raise a distribution line, they'll work with the local utility to do that.
Q. All right. It's guaranteed to be done before the road improvements are done?
A. Yes, sir. Yeah.

MR. CHAMBERS: Okay. That's all I have.
HEARING OFFICER: Any other questions for
Mr. Carlson from the ZBA?
MR. CARLSON: I know I didn't answer one question about the rates. I remember now it's 200 gallons per minute at a maximum capacity of the high capacity well.

\section*{EXAMINATION}

BY MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. \(\quad 200\) gallons a minute?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And what was your max?
A. That is at the max output for the --
Q. That is the max?
A. Yes, sir.

MR. CHAMBERS: Okay. Gotcha. Thank you. HEARING OFFICER: Based upon that statement, any other questions for Mr. Carlson from the board?

Very good.
Questions for Mr. Carlson from members of units of local government, including school districts?

Questions from other interested parties, members of the public opposed to the application or neutral on the application?

I see Mr. Reed. I can remember some things. Come on forward, Mr. Reed.

Mr. Hartke, you'll be next.
I can't remember what I did earlier today, but I remember Mr. Reed.

Sir, could you please state your name, spelling first and last name for the court reporter?

MR. REED: Jim Reed, J-i-m R-e-e-d.
HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Good to see you again. Thank you, and go ahead with questions for Mr. Carlson.

\section*{EXAMINATION}

BY MR. REED:
Q. So, relating to the drainage districts, I believe your attorney said that, you know, you must comply with the state drainage code, and the state drainage code allows for districts to adopt
```

specifications and rules for work within their
district. And both Goose Creek -- both Trenkle
Slough and DeLand Special have court-approved
requirements for construction within their
boundaries on their district facility. But in your
73-page report, do you comply with those plans that
the districts have previously had court approval on?
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hess, do you have an
objection?
MR. HESS: Yes, sir, I do. It's basically
the same objection I had before. Under Public Act
102-1123 subsection T -- perhaps Mr. Reed just
misunderstood me or I misspoke, so let me clear up
the record: "Notwithstanding any other provision of
law, a commercial wind energy facility is authorized
to cross or impact a drainage system, including but
not limited to drainage tiles, open drainage
districts, culverts and water gathering vaults owned
or under the control of a drainage district under
the Illinois Drainage Code without obtaining prior
agreement or approval from the drainage
commissioner."
So I would make the same objection that
I've made before.

```

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Reed, the new law has kind of hamstrung your question, is what I am going to have to rule. The objection is sustained, so you may ask another question.

BY MR. REED:
Q. Could you please describe your efforts to contact the commissioners of the drainage district you said you had tried?

MR. HESS: Again, I would object. First of all, it has been asked and answered. The question was asked, and he answered it. I think it has been asked and answered.

Second, what the WECS County Ordinance requires is that we include a copy of our application. We have given that notice to the drainage district. That notice is in our application. So we have complied with that notice. Asking Mr. Carlson what he's done personally I don't think is relevant.

HEARING OFFICER: Well, I get your point.
I am going to overrule the objection.
If Mr. Carlson can testify as to what he's done with talking to the drainage district, I think that is okay.

Go ahead and answer the question.
MR. CARLSON: Yes, sir. All the communication had been done through Quint Shambaugh at KCoe Isom to reach out to the various drainage districts and compile the information from those drainage commissioners.

We have a whole log from earlier in the year last year as well showing all of his efforts, when contact was made, how many times he tried to reach out, in instances dozens and dozens of times with no replies.

I talked to Ms. Rupiper, who represents DeLand Special and Trenkle Slough and didn't get very far in those conversations either. I think the only districts that were helpful and cooperative were Goose Creek III and Goose Creek IV.

DeWitt Special, we have one crossing. I have been in contact with their attorney, and we were very close to an agreement at the time of the Goose Creek County Board hearing.

BY MR. REED:
Q. So if I may follow up, you are saying all that contact that was prior was under the previous application not under this application?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay.
A. There is nothing that has changed, just to be clear.

And would you be interested in talking, sir?
Q. I am a public-elected drainage commissioner. It is my right and my job to represent our clients and have discussions with anyone doing work within our district; although, the attorney seems to say to me that we are not allowed.

HEARING OFFICER: All right. Mr. Reed, do you have any other questions for Mr. Carlson? BY MR. REED:
Q. Concerning the road use agreements, many if not most of the roads within the area you are going to be working are easements upon private property.

And as \(I\) understand it, those are only to build and maintain roads in a legal manner. Many of the roads in some windmill areas have ditches that are so steep that some rules may require them to have guardrails applied because a side slope that goes from making it 2 to 1 to much steeper.

Have you identified any areas where the road may get that steep that they would require a guardrail and maybe be kind of on the border of whether or not they are maintaining a legal road?
A. Yes, sir. All of that would be included in the ensuring of the road use agreement. And earlier on we were doing everything we could to avoid some of the deep ditch drop-offs. There were a few turbines in the old application where we actually went a different way because we knew if we expanded that road there was no way. We would need to put a guardrail there. So it's things like that, creatively thinking about solutions and going a different route.

I can't tell you specifically which roads where this might occur, but I can't think of any off the top of my head, any locations, under the new project.
```

    MR. REED: Thank you.
    HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Reed.
    Mr. Hartke?
    MS. ANTONIOLLI: And I will add for the
    record, just because there has been some changes to
    the ordinance and the state law since we had the
    ```

Goose Creek hearings, on the issue of drainage, there is -- Piatt County did update the ordinance, and there is no longer the requirement to enter into agreements with the drainage districts, but what remains in the ordinance is the requirement to notify the drainage districts, and that is all of them. And we did send, certified mail, a copy of the application to each one of the drainage districts or their attorney, and that has been complied with.

HEARING OFFICER: So you are stating that you are in compliance with that specific requirement of the ordinance?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you for the clarification, counsel.

Mr. Hartke, good to see you again, too, sir. Could you please state your name, spelling first and last for the record?

MR. HARTKE: My name is Ted Hartke, \(T-e-d\) H-a-r-t-k-e.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Mr. Hartke, go ahead with questions for Mr. Carlson, please. EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARTKE:
Q. Earlier in your testimony you mentioned underdrains for the turbine footings. Did I hear that correctly? There's underdrains for the turbine footings?
A. Underdrains?
Q. Underdrain, like a tile drain around the footing of the turbine. Did I mishear that?
A. You misheard that, sir.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

Have you ever considered underdrains around a wind turbine footing to perhaps avoid any foundation weakness or a problem with saturated soils underneath the foundation?
A. No, sir. Any drain tile that is existing there, we reroute it around the footing of the turbine. That's one of the first activities for digging for a foundation.

MS. ANTONIOLLI: And it would help to clarify, what do you mean by underdrain?

MR. HARTKE: It would be like a footing drain that would drain the soil from being saturated underneath of a foundation of a turbine.

The reason for the question is there is
some speculation that the turbine that was in Ford County toppled over due to saturated soil under the footing. That is the only reason for the question. HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hess, it looks like you have something to say.

MR. HESS: Yes, sir. I would respectfully object again \(I\) think on several bases for my objection.

First, your instruction at the outset of this hearing was, "Evidence and questions not relevant to the Prosperity Wind application will not be permitted.

Second, I think Mr. Hartke was quite candid that his question was based on speculation, so I don't think it's an appropriate question.

And third, I don't think it meets the personal knowledge requirement in this board's hearing guidelines, specifically here in guideline number 10 that requires the personal knowledge.

HEARING OFFICER: Your objection is sustained for all of those reasons.

The board has already heard Mr. Hartke's statement, but \(I\) will instruct the board to give it the weight that it should be afforded considering a
previous ruling about relevance to this particular supplemental use permit application and, also, personal knowledge.

What happened up in Pioneer, I don't know, but it has -- unless one can state with specificity how something there that occurred is going to likely happen here, Pioneer is not particularly relevant.

With that said, Mr. Hartke, I do
appreciate the question, and go ahead and ask another question if you have more of Mr. Carlson, please.

MR. HARTKE: I simply responded to this stated attorney asking me why/where that question came from.

HEARING OFFICER: I understand.
MR. HARTKE: And that's the only reason I said it, and that's why. I was trying to please the applicant there, and I am being judged for that.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hartke, as always, you are fine. Go ahead and ask another question. BY MR. HARTKE:
Q. Okay. Would you guys consider putting some underdrains beneath wind turbine foundations?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Do you think they are better without them?
A. I rely on the expertise of RRC Engineering for the foundation design.
Q. Okay. So you are not a licensed engineer? You don't have an engineering license or degree; is that right?
A. I have a background in nuclear engineering and master's degree in systems engineering, a degree in mathematics and Chinese, sir.
Q. Okay. What's the name of the licensed engineer who certified or stamped the letter for the drawdown document?

I know that is in the document. What is the name of that individual?

\section*{A. Barr Engineering.}
Q. Barr Engineering. What is the name of the actual engineer who did that?
A. I can look at the front page of the drawdown study. I don't have his name.
Q. So that name is in there, that he has stamped off? And that is in the documents, his name or her name?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Thank you. Earlier you testified that this wind farm was -- you said these exact words, "for the greater good." Do you remember saying that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And is this wind farm, you know, community minded and for the benefit of the community in general?
A. Yes. I think that has been demonstrated many times tonight.
Q. So if a wind farm is, indeed, for the greater good, and they are community minded, doesn't that reach out and include all of the elected boards that, even if it's not the state law, and even if you are not required to do something, wouldn't a company that is in it for the good of all voluntarily include and meet with local drainage districts, rules, and guidelines and have some working relationship with an elected board such as a drainage district board?

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hess?

MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Kains. I respectfully object. That has been asked and answered several times now. We stated that we
```

provided this application to every drainage
district, and Mr. Carlson has already discussed at
length the steps he has taken to reach and
communicate with those boards.
HEARING OFFICER: The objection is
sustained. Ask another question.
BY MR. HARTKE:
Q. Okay. Let's leave it out of the drainage
specific item.
Wouldn't a wind company that was in it for
the greater good, whether for the individual who
lives near a wind farm or just the general public
throughout the county or the state or whatever,
wouldn't it be more community minded if they would
voluntarily meet and go beyond the minimum basics of
the state law?
MR. HESS: Mr. Kains, I respectfully
object. I think that is a hypothetical that calls
for speculation. I am not sure how any one witness
could answer sort of an exponential question, so I
would object that it calls for speculation.
HEARING OFFICER: I am going to overrule
-- or I am going to sustain the objection because I
wouldn't know that that can even be answered.

```

So, Mr. Hartke, go ahead and ask another.
MR. HARTKE: Last question.
HEARING OFFICER: Absolutely.
BY MR. HARTKE:
Q. This is maybe not a gotcha. Perhaps would it be better to start at the beginning and say, except for the drainage districts and except for any other reasons, except for these reasons, it is for the greater good?

I mean that comes with the general claim that a wind company is going to be good for a community, and then we get a lawyer that responds.

Which is it?
Is it for our good or not?
That is my last question.
HEARING OFFICER: I think he said that it was for the greater good.

MR. CARLSON: That is.
HEARING OFFICER: That is Mr. Carlson's opinion. You may testify later that it is not your opinion.

MR. HARTKE: Okay. Thank you, everybody. Have a good evening.

HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hartke, thank you so
much.
Are there any other questions from the public for Mr. Carlson?

Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants?

MR. PAULSRUD: Yes. Are you going to ask my earlier question about the lay-down yard?

HEARING OFFICER: Oh, come on up, Mr. Paulsrud. I don't get to ask questions. I am just the referee. I can't play in the game. Thank you for speaking up, Mr. Paulsrud.

Holly, you have this is Bruce Paulsrud?
COURT REPORTER: I do.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. PAULSRUD:
Q. Mr. Carlson, thank you for your participation this evening.

So the lay-down yard, like I said earlier, is directly north of my property two miles approximately.

So can you describe for me what -- how many acres is that? What type of lightning? Is it 24/7? Let's start there.
A. Yes, sir. Yeah. So it's about 20 acres,
roughly 5 acres for the batch plants, and the other 15 would have a lot of the equipment stored there on trailers, material stored there. It wouldn't be 24/7 lightning. No, sir. Not the types of lights that you are thinking.
Q. So, in the evening, I'm -- I am trying to remember the slide that you had on earlier. When the -- what are the months the bulk of that plant are going to be active?
A. It would be -- it would be from -- I mean roughly from planting to harvest. So, you are going to have longer daylight hours during that time anyway, so that would be for the benefit of everyone.
```

    Q. So is it safe to say they would be done by
    8:00 at night or 10:00 or midnight?

```
A. 8:00 would be, like, the latest on the normal workday. There are instances. Let's say something happens and we end up having to work at night. There are turbine installations that happen at night, and that would be -- that could be a complete overnight shift, and it's just focused at that one turbine, to install one turbine.
Q. That is not the yard itself?
A. That is not the yard itself. So, it could be anywhere around the project boundary. And the reason for that is we need a little wind to hoist all of the components to install them. So some of those windows happen to be at night depending on the season.
Q. Yeah. That's -- how many does it take -once the foundation is done -- well, let's start with, to build a foundation, until it's ready to generate power, what is typical? Is that a two-week or three-week process for each turbine?
A. For a single turbine?
Q. Yeah.
A. It could be over a month for a single turbine.
Q. Okay.
A. For the entire process. That's pouring concrete, getting the strength, all the \(Q A / Q C\).

And then we install the turbine. That
part is actually the quickest.
If we have wind, a little wind in our favor, we could erect a turbine in one to two days.

But after that, a lot of people have asked us, well, why aren't the turbines spinning.

There's a lot of checks that go into getting the turbine mechanically complete and commissioned, which that could take -- that's what adds up to that whole month, and there is a lot of internal wiring that is completed that no one on the outside sees. That's why it takes longer.
Q. One other question: Talking about roads and getting the roads ready, but then also repairing the damage from the heavy use --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. If a road today is -- I grew up way in the north, so \(I\) call it chip and tar.
A. Chip and seal.
Q. Chip and seal?
A. Yeah.
Q. Or however it is said. If that's the way the road is today, is it going to be put back that way or is it going to be gravel?
A. It will be repaired at the end of the project with the oil and chip. All township roads will be that. County roads would be, more than likely, paved, asphalt paved.
Q. So I think that answered it. We are not going to be swapped out with a lesser quality road?
A. Exactly. You will have fantastic roads in Piatt County. They will be improved. Every mile that we go down will be improved.
Q. Thank you.
A. Yes, sir.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Paulsrud.
Any other questions for Mr. Carlson from the public?

I think I already asked from Piatt County Staff and Consultants.

Any redirect, counsel?
MS. ANTONIOLLI: Yes.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. ANTONIOLLI:
Q. Just one. You mentioned the name of the company that designed the foundations for Prosperity Wind as RRC?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Is that the same company that designed the foundations for Pioneer Wind?
A. That is not the same company.

MS. ANTONIOLLI: Okay. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hess, you didn't want to object to relevance on counsel's question?

MR. HESS: No.

HEARING OFFICER: I am sorry. I couldn't resist.

Time for questions for Mr. Carlson from members of the Zoning Board of Appeals. Any other questions for this gentleman?

Mr. Carlson, thank you.
MR. CARLSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hess, Ms. Antoniolli, call your next witness.

MR. HESS: Assuming he's in the room with us, we would next like to call Mr. Eric Seibring.

MR. SEIBRING: I am here.
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Seibring is here.
All right.

\section*{ERIC SEIBRING,}
a witness herein, called by the applicant, after having been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER: Could you please state your name, spelling first and last name for the record?

MR. SEIBRING: My name is Eric Seibring, E-r-i-c S-e-i-b-r-i-n-g.

HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you. Mr. Hess, does he have a presentation, or do you have direct questions?

MR. HESS: I have direct questions.
HEARING OFFICER: You may proceed, counsel.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. HESS:
Q. Good evening, Mr. Seibring.

Mr. Seibring, I am pretty sure you are
well known to everyone in this room, but we need to make a record here within the transcript, so could you state what your name, your job title is and your primary responsibilities in your job?
A. My name is Eric Seibring. I am the Piatt County Engineer. I am in charge of the county road system, roads/bridges, and I assist the highway commissioners in Piatt County in the road and bridge process.

MR. HESS: And I am sorry. Did I miss it?
Did Mr. Seibring get sworn in?
COURT REPORTER: He did.
HEARING OFFICER: Yes, he did.
BY MR. HESS:
Q. And, Mr. Seibring, what are your job responsibilities specifically as it relates to the Blue Ridge Township Road Authority and the Goose Creek Township Road Authority?
A. In terms of this project or --
Q. Just in general.
A. -- just in general?
Q. Yes.
A. So, monitoring their motor fuel tax, assisting them with construction of road/bridge, a road culvert design, hydrology works, part-time lawyer among other things. Just kind of there to help guide them through the process of maintaining their roads.
Q. And, Mr. Seibring, just so that there is full disclosure for the record, you and me and the county's attorney with respect to the roads, Ms. Cheryl Churney, we have had several negotiations over the last week related to road agreements related to Prosperity Wind?
A. That is correct, sir.
Q. All right. And not only does Piatt County have you and Cheryl Churney as a higher attorney, but also am I correct that Terry Cummins of Cummins
```

Engineering is working with Piatt County on the

``` road?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. And let's sort of give away the ending to the story here, Mr. Seibring. So you are here tonight to explain to the ZBA that we have fully negotiated, ready-to-be-executed road use agreements; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. And you are ready to present the Piatt County Prosperity Wind Road Use Agreement to the Piatt County Board for approval at an upcoming meeting?
A. I have to go through my process to go through the Road and Bridge Committee first because that is who \(I\) answer to. I'll make a recommendation to the Road and Bridge Committee that they forward it to the full County Board for their approval. At that time I will also recommend that the full County Board approve that document.
Q. And you will be making a similar recommendation to the Blue Ridge Township Road Authority and the Goose Creek Township Road Authority regarding road use agreements with

Prosperity Wind?
A. I will make my opinion known that the county is moving forward with it. I don't -- they are elected persons, so they get to make their own decision. They were involved in the negotiation process for this road use agreement. They were in agreement last time I spoke with them. I cannot speak for them now, but they are still in agreement with what was originally agreed to.
Q. Okay.
A. I will be -- to sum it up, yes, I will be saying that the county is moving forward with the road use agreement, here it is, if you don't like this, you are kind of on your own at this point.
Q. Yes, sir. All right. And, Mr. Seibring, during the Goose Creek Wind ZBA hearings, there were a lot of questions and concerns about uncertainty because we did not have a negotiated road use agreement.

Is it fair to say we have certainty with regards to a road use agreement with Prosperity?
A. I would say yes.
Q. All right. Let's back up and explain for the record how we got here. The Goose Creek Wind

ZBA hearings lasted many nights through last fall and early into this year. I could not tell from the transcript; \(I\) don't think you ever testified.
A. I was luckily enough to avoid it.
Q. Sorry this time around, Mr. Seibring. Were you present for those hearings or otherwise generally aware of the Goose Creek Wind ZBA hearings?
A. I was aware. I think I was present for one, maybe two meetings.
Q. Okay. At the same time, though, in late 2022 and into early 2023, did you start having meetings about terms or major issues related to a Goose Creek Wind road use agreement?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. And those conversations culminated in what Mr . Carlson described earlier as a marathon session, an all-day negotiation on March 2, 2023. Does that sound about right to you?
A. That sounds about correct.
Q. And I wasn't there, but my understanding -- please tell me if this is correct -- Mr. Carlson and several others from Apex were there, along with Ben Bland from HLR, our attorneys, you were present,
the road district commissioners were present,
Ms. Churney, your attorney, was present, as well as
Mr. Terry Fountain, your consultant?

\section*{A. That sounds right. Yes.}
Q. All right. And I am told that Ms. Churney and all the other attorneys sort of kept everyone there all day, and by the end of it you had an agreement in principle?
A. Yes.
Q. What was left was for the lawyers to write it up?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. And then \(I\) want to make sure the record is clear here. What the lawyers had to write up were three different road use agreements, the Road Use Agreement for Goose Creek Wind with Piatt County, Goose Creek Wind in Blue Ridge Township, and Goose Creek Wind in Goose Creek Township; is that right?

\section*{A. That's correct.}
Q. The latter causing all kind of confusion?
A. That's correct.
Q. Just because of the names.
A. That is right.
Q. All right. And although there were three separate agreements, those agreements, is it fair to say, were mostly identical?

We'll talk about the differences in a second, but for the most part they were identical?
A. General overall principles were the same. Yes. Mostly identical. Other than dollar amounts because of roads being used for different entities.
Q. So, just to give an example, the terms about the standards for repair of roads, those would have been identical to Prosperity roads?
A. Identical, correct.
Q. That is correct. And what was different is, you just said, were the three different payment amounts?
A. Correct.
Q. So that all led to the March 16, 2023, Piatt County Board meeting. You were there. I was there. I think most of the people in this room were probably there. But for the record, let's talk about what happened that night.

Would you agree that the Goose Creek Wind Road Use Agreement with Piatt County was on the board's agenda for that night's meeting?
A. That's correct.
Q. And separately, after that, the Goose Creek Wind Special Use Permit Application was also on the agenda?
A. I am sorry. I zoned out.
Q. Okay. Is it your recollection from the March 16 Piatt County Board meeting that the road use agreement was on the agenda, which is what you just said, but also separately the permit application was on the agenda?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And the road use agreement was taken up first; isn't that right?
A. I don't remember if it was taken up first or after the revenue sharing agreement, but it was prior to the special use permit. Yes.
Q. You made a presentation to the Piatt County Board in that meeting about the road use agreement?
A. That's correct.
Q. And the Piatt County Board asked you several questions about the road use agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is from my recollection, so tell
me if \(I\) don't have this right. In response to a question about the payment provisions, you said that in the course of negotiating this road use agreement you had collected just about all of the road use agreements that a county in Illinois has ever done with a wind developer and you were generally familiar with them all; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. And based on all of that work, I believe your phrase was, "I am satisfied with the payment provisions in these road use agreements"?
A. Okay. Yes. Something to that. But yes that is correct. Yes.
Q. Generally speaking, your presentation to the Piatt County Board was you were recommending approval of the Goose Creek Wind Road Use Agreement?
A. I believe I stated that. Yes.
Q. Is it your memory that the Piatt County Board unanimously approved those agreements?
A. I believe it was 5/0.
Q. And then, after that, the Piatt County Board then voted 3 to 2 to deny the permit application?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. While all of that was going on, the Illinois General Assembly passed the new law Public Act 102-1123. Are you familiar with that law?
A. Vaguely.
Q. Okay. I am not going to question you on it. I am not going to ask you for a legal opinion on it, but are you aware that Section \(H\) of that law says that, "A county may not adopt zoning regulations that disallow permanently or temporarily commercial wind energy facilities from being developed or operated in a district zoned to allow agricultural or industrial uses"?

So, in other words -- that was a
long-winded quote. In other words, it limited a county's authority. Are you generally aware of that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. Are you aware that the law says that a county with an existing zoning ordinance in conflict with the section shall amend its ordinance within 120 days?

\section*{A. Vaguely familiar with that. Yes.}
Q. And then are you vaguely familiar that
then, in May, Piatt County did amend its wind ordinance?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. Are you aware of the new ordinance? Have you looked at it?
A. Parts of it. Mostly the impact of the road side of things.
Q. Okay. And so, I can show it to you if you want, but are you aware that under the new ordinance the special use permit application must "include a copy of each proposed wind road use agreement and supporting documentation required by the appropriate governmental units having jurisdiction over identified public roads"?

And that is from Section 5(b) (15) of the ordinance. Are you aware of the requirement --
A. Yes.
Q. That you have to include a draft road use agreement?
A. I am aware of the statement that was put in there. Yes.

MR. HESS: Mr. Kains, I would like to show
Mr. Seibring part of our application. Is it all right if I approach him?

HEARING OFFICER: Yes, you may.
MR. HESS: And I think we have several extra copies. I am not sure how many we have, but would you like me to hand up additional copies as well? This is the appendices to our permit application. I don't think I need to.

HEARING OFFICER: I don't think you need to right know. If we get to the point where the board needs to look at that specific appendix, then the board can look at it on its own.

MR. HESS: May I approach the witness?
HEARING OFFICER: Yes, you may. BY MR. HESS:
Q. Mr. Seibring, I'll proffer for you I've just handed you Volume II of the Appendices to the Prosperity Wind Permit Application, and I would like to draw your attention to Appendices D2, D3, and D4. They should be tabbed so that hopefully they are relatively easy for you to find.

D2, D3, and D4. Take as long as you want to sort of review those documents, but my question for you is: Is D2 a draft road use agreement between Prosperity Wind and Piatt County?
A. Without reading all 80 pages of it, yes.

\section*{It appears to be the draft of the use agreement, yes.}
Q. And we'll go through some of the details in a little bit, but generally speaking does it look similar to you as the Goose Creek Wind Prosperity agreement that you presented to the Piatt County Board in March?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Both documents were 80 pages?
A. Something like that.
Q. Both documents were about 35 pages of a contract and then a whole ton of exhibits?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And then D3 would be the Draft Road Use Agreement with Blue Ridge Township. Does that look right to you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And then D4 would be the Draft Road Use Agreement with Goose Creek Township; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Now, you heard Mr. Carlson sort of in summary talk about how the Prosperity Wind Road Use Agreements are the same as the Goose Creek Wind Road Use Agreement.

I want to drill down a little bit on that. So they are, would you agree, nearly identical but there's a few differences?
A. Yes, sir. I would agree to that.

MR. HESS: Okay. Let's just make the record very quickly here on what those differences are.

Mr. Kains, can I hand up our narrative portion of our permit application?

HEARING OFFICER: Yes, you may.
BY MR. HESS:
Q. I'll hand that to you and take this unless you want it.
A. No. It's all yours. I've got plenty.
Q. Mr. Seibring, I just handed you the narrative portion of our Prosperity Wind Permit Application. If I could ask you to, please turn to page 16, specifically Section 316.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Tell me when you are there. Are you there?
A. Yep.
Q. All right. Section 316 is a summary of the road use agreement that is included in Appendix D that you just identified for us; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And then, if you turn to Section 3.16.2, which is actually on page 17, so you are going to have to flip the page, it describes the payment terms; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. We'll come back and talk about those payment terms in just a moment, but to talk about the differences, I want to keep going. If you go to Section 3.16.3, it should be on the same page I believe.

\section*{A. Next page.}
Q. I am sorry. Page 1. You are correct. You are faster than I am.

Specifically the second paragraph that begins, "There are only three differences between the Draft Road Use Agreements submitted here and the Road Use Agreement the Piatt County Board approved on March 16, 2023." Are you there yet?

\section*{A. Yes.}
Q. I want to ask you -- and please take your time in answering these questions -- whether you agree to each of these differences.

So the first difference is the name change. Pretty simple. Prosperity Wind instead of Goose Creek Wind?
A. Correct.
Q. The second difference is that we had to update the dates and deadines, right?

We had a construction schedule for Goose Creek Wind contemplating spring and summer that is no longer applicable, so we had to update those dates?
A. Correct.
Q. And you've worked with Mr. Fountain, and as far as you know Piatt County is in agreement with the dates and deadlines?
A. Correct.
Q. Which brings us to the third difference. The third difference is that we, Prosperity Wind, deleted a term that would have required an annual operations payment; is that right?

\section*{A. That is correct.}
Q. All right. And so the Goose Creek Wind Road Use Agreement had an annual operations fee that, when you totaled it up amongst the three agreements, it came to \(\$ 180,000\) per year; is that
right?
A. Sounds correct.
Q. And the problem, at least from Prosperity Wind's perspective -- I am not going to ask if you agree with this -- is that the new siting reform law explicitly prohibits fees that are not tied to construction. So an operations fee would run afoul to the new law.
A. Okay.
Q. Is that what you heard from us in our negotiations?
A. Yes. That is what I heard from you.
Q. That brings us to last year's
negotiations. Is it correct that on last Monday you, me, and your attorney Ms. Churney, got on a phone call to negotiate these road use agreements?

\section*{A. This is correct.}
Q. We basically had an agreement on all of the Goose Creek Wind terms, so we didn't have to redo all that stuff?
A. Correct.
Q. And we then discussed these annual operations?
A. Correct.
Q. And then what followed, I believe it was the next day, was Ms. Churney e-mailed a revised road use agreement for Piatt County with certain new language in it?
A. Correct.
Q. The new language, so that we are clear here, addressed two different topics. There was some language about technical information related to highway -- related to repairs for Highway 5; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You would know better than I would, so correct me if \(I\) am wrong.

And then there was an annual fee, but it was now reworded as a post-construction review fee?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And then last week Prosperity Wind accepted those proposed edits?
A. Correct.

MR. HESS: All right. I now do have the documents I would like to show Mr. Seibring and then offer as exhibits.

HEARING OFFICER: Yes, sir.
MR. HESS: I think it would probably be
quickest if \(I\) did all three at one time.
HEARING OFFICER: Yep. All right.
MR. HESS: All right. May I approach
members of the ZBA?

HEARING OFFICER: Yes, you may.
MR. KEYT: Do you want them individually marked as Group Exhibit 8, or 8, 9, and 10?

MR. HESS: I am agnostic. Whatever
Mr. Kains would prefer. I will proffer they are three fully negotiated, ready-for-execution road use agreements, one with Piatt County and one each with of the townships. We can make them separate exhibits.

HEARING OFFICER: Let's, for the ease of understanding because they are separate negotiated agreements with prospective road districts and the county, let's refer to them as 8, 9, and 10.

MR. HESS: Yes, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
BY MR. HESS:
Q. So then, starting with the Piatt County Road Use Agreement -- so, Mr. Seibring, if you would look on the very first page, the very first line, it should say Piatt County.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Is this the fully negotiated road use agreement that we had agreed to? And we'll get to today's last-minute changes.
A. Okay.
Q. Yes, sir.
A. Okay.
Q. We had reached an agreement on principle by the end of last week?
A. Without reading this, I will make the assumption that this is the agreement that we came to.
Q. How about this: I will proffer for you this is the agreement that was attached to Ms. Churney's e-mail to you and I last Wednesday.
A. Perfect.

MR. HESS: All right. Then I would move to admit the Piatt County Prosperity Wind Road Use Agreement as Applicant's Exhibit 8.

HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Applicant's 8 is admitted.
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 8 - PIATT COUNTY
AGREEMENT.)
BY MR. HESS:
Q. Now, let's make sure we clarify the record. Did Ms. Churney e-mail several of us today with a few additional edits?
A. Yes.
Q. I'll proffer I did not have time to print those. Those came in about 3:00.
A. \(3: 13\).
Q. Would you agree that they were non-substantive? I think one was a correction of signature block and an extra line.
A. Yes. Minor details.
Q. All right. So that is the Piatt County Road Use Agreement.

If we next go to the Blue Ridge Township Road Use Agreement, would you agree, looking at it, this is the agreement that I had attached to an e-mail saying this is our final ready-for-execution agreement between Blue Ridge Township and Prosperity?

\section*{A. That would be correct.}
Q. And again, just to clarify, late this afternoon there were a few last-minute additions that I was not able to get in this printing that are non-substantive; is that fair?
A. That's correct.
Q. That we need to clean up?
A. Yes.

MR. HESS: At this time, Mr. Kains, I
would move to admit the Blue Ridge Township Prosperity Wind Road Use Agreement as I believe Applicant's Number 9.

HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Applicant's Number 9 admitted.
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 9 - BLUE RIDGE
TOWNSHIP AGREEMENT.)
BY MR. HESS:
Q. Almost done, Mr. Seibring. The last one would be the Goose Creek Township Road District Prosperity Wind Road Use Agreement that I've handed you. Looking at it, does this also look like the Goose Creek Township agreement that I had attached to my e-mail representing the final, ready-to-be-executed road use agreement?
A. I don't remember if you sent one specific to Goose Creek or not. If you did -- there has been a lot of them going back and forth. I would make the assumption that that was the intent of what this is. Yes.
Q. And let me ask the same question in a slightly different way. Would you agree that Ms. Churney, your attorney, also had last-minute edits at about 3:13 today to this agreement as well?
A. Yes.
Q. So this agreement, is it fully executable except for those non-substantive edits?
A. That's correct.

MR. HESS: At this point, Mr. Kains, I would move to admit Goose Creek Township Prosperity Wind Road Use Agreement into evidence as Applicant's Exhibit 10.

HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Applicant's Exhibit 10, Goose Creek Township Road Use Agreement, is admitted in evidence.
(APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 10 - GOOSE CREEK
TOWNSHIP AGREEMENT.)
BY MR. HESS:
Q. Okay. Mr. Seibring, you have been very generous with your time, and I only have a few more questions for you. I think for these we can focus on the Piatt County Road Use Agreement.

I want to make sure that the record is clear and the \(Z B A\) is clear about how these road use
agreements were set up to work.
It's 80 pages. We are not going to go through all of it, but would you agree that Section 1, which begins on page 4 and is a very short section, are the authorizations for Prosperity Wind to use county roads?

\section*{A. That would be correct.}
Q. It's probably one of the shorter sections in the agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. What then follows are all of the things Prosperity Wind has to do in exchange for all of those authorizations?

\section*{A. That is correct.}
Q. Okay. So let's talk about that. For example, if you go to page 7, Section D, so this is Section \(2(d)\) on page 7, it is a long section titled Matters to be Completed Before Project Construction Begins; is that right?

\section*{A. Yes.}
Q. So it then outlines all of the things that Prosperity Wind has to do even before they could begin construction?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. I want to give a couple highlights for the ZBA.

On page 9, if you go to Section 4, would you agree that under this road use agreement the road authority has to sign off on all of the pre-construction documents before Prosperity Wind can start transporting equipment?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I would assume that you are going to be active on the road authority's behalf for much of this?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And so you have to -- you have to approve the transportation impact analysis; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. You have to approve the pre-construction plan?
A. Correct.
Q. You have to approve the projected scope of repairs?
A. That is correct.
Q. And under this particular provision we have to get your approval before we can bring any
equipment?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. If we go to page 10, the A at the very top, so that we are making the record here, this would be one of the new edits that Ms. Churney put in last week that we agreed to?

\section*{A. That is correct.}
Q. So this would be one of the few situations where these revised road use agreements are different than what was in our permit application?

\section*{A. That would be correct.}
Q. Okay. Mr. Harrington had asked several questions about traffic, and then \(I\) think we heard additional questions about traffic, so let's talk about traffic provisions for just a minute.

We are on page 10. If you look at Section 5(a), would you agree that it requires Prosperity Wind to provide a daily plan-of-the-day report about the traffic control plan?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And then, if you go to page 15, Section 9, sort of buried in the middle, Prosperity Wind has to coordinate with the road authority, specifically you, regarding the schedule of project construction
traffic in weekly scheduled meetings; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. So that's just a highlight of all of the things Porosity Wind has to do before construction begins.

But if we keep moving on, starting in Section E, which is on page 14, there is an entire section of ongoing obligations.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So the way this agreement was structured, would you agree, there are things Prosperity Wind has to do before construction to get your approval, and there are things that they have to do on an ongoing basis?

\section*{A. Correct.}
Q. And a lot of Section \(E\), which is very long or very technical requirements, if you just flip through it, this is what the marathon section in March probably took all the time?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And so, for example, if you look just still on page 14, under (e) (2), an ongoing obligation is horizontal and directional boring anytime collection of cables are going to cross any
roads?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And it gives very specific requirements about the pipes and distance that all that is going to have to go under?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And then, just to give one more example of the level of detail, if you look under Subsection 8 on page 15 -- I am going to try to hopefully move this through in order here -Prosperity Wind actually has to execute releases to you that would allow you to contact our vendors to get their delivery schedule?

\section*{A. Correct.}
Q. So if, for some reason, you didn't believe our traffic schedule, you can go straight to the vendor?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All right. And then, if we can jump ahead a little bit to page 21, subsection 37 is the first payment provision in these agreements. Here we see a \(\$ 500,000\) road permit fee; is that right?

\section*{A. That's correct.}
Q. And you can look at the other agreements
if you want. Section 37 has the same road permit fee, but the amounts are different?
A. Correct.
Q. And they add up to \(\$ 3\) million total?
A. Correct.
Q. I want to go to the concern that Mr. Reed expressed earlier tonight. It talked about shoulder drop-off. The shoulder drop-off is actually addressed specifically in this road use agreement?
A. It is.
Q. Because it was a heavily negotiated term?
A. It was.
Q. So, if you go to page 16, there are two different provisions that address it, Section 16 and Section 17.
A. Section 16 or page 16 ?
Q. Page 16, and then Paragraphs 16 and 17.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So, subsection 16 talks about ditch slope. It requires a 3 to 1 ditch slope where possible; and if it's not possible, then the parties have to work together to basically figure out a solution; is that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And then, to specifically address

Mr. Reed's concern, paragraph 17, if local roads degrade -- and the definition of degrade includes drop-offs from the road-to-road shoulder that are not a smooth transitioning -- Prosperity Wind has to fix it, right?

\section*{A. That is correct.}
Q. And later on down there it says, if it's an emergency condition, they have to fix it right away or you can fix it and bill us for it?

\section*{A. Correct.}
Q. All right. So then that brings us to the post-construction roadwork. So, just to orient the ZBA, we've talked about everything that has to be done pre-construction, everything that has to be ongoing, and now let's talk about what happens at the end of construction.

If you go to page 22, Section 3 addresses post-construction roadwork; is that right?

\section*{A. Correct.}
Q. And in the very first sentence, Section 3(a) states, "The parties agree that the road authority will perform the post-construction surface repair work." Did I read that right?

\section*{A. That's correct.}
Q. I want to make sure that is clear. Would you agree, in your review of all of these other road use agreements, that's relatively unusual?
A. Yes.
Q. And so there were a lot of questions and concerns in the Goose Creek wind hearing about, well, what happens if Apex just never repairs the roads at the end?
A. Correct.
Q. And that was a big topic in the negotiations in March?
A. Yes.
Q. And the solution that we've agreed to in these agreements is that Prosperity Wind is going to make a very large surface repair treatment payment?
A. Correct.
Q. And then it will be your responsibility to hire a vendor of your choosing, decide the schedule, and do it to your satisfaction?
A. Correct.
Q. So, obligations for surface repair treatment, for fixing the roads at the end of construction, Prosperity Wind is paying for it, but
you are in control?
A. Correct.
Q. And if we look through all three agreements, the section -- the payments under Section 3(a), first paragraph, total \$10 million?
A. I believe that is correct. Yes.
Q. And then what is different about the county agreement is that second paragraph in 3(a) that is not in the other ones, specifically for Highway 2, Prosperity Wind has agreed to pay \(\$ 5.4\) million?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. So then the last topic I want to just get into the record here are those annual fees. So if you go to page 29 --

I am sorry. Before we do that, since we are going in order here, let's do the financial security section, which is Section 6 on page 26. Would you agree that these road use agreements require two different levels of financial security to protect Piatt County?
A. Yes.
Q. Escrow accounts and a letter of credit?
A. That is correct.
Q. The escrow account is \(\$ 100,000\) that you can access for anything that we have failed to do?
A. Correct.
Q. So if we don't repair something, and you need to hire somebody to do it, the money is in a bank account for you to do it?

\section*{A. Correct.}
Q. And then, on top of that, is a letter of credit that I believe totals across three agreements \$12.4 million as financial security. You can look through all three of them. Would you agree it's different amounts?

\section*{A. Yes.}
Q. And millions of dollars?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And the point of that is to protect Piatt County so that, if we never do what we are supposed to do, you've got a financial security?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. So then, lastly, let's go to Section 7, construction review, page 29. This is the section that is different than the drafts that were included with our permit application; is that right?

\section*{A. That would be correct.}
Q. Because we've renamed it. In the original draft it would have been operations period, and now we are calling it construction review?
A. That is correct.
Q. All right. And then, if we flip the page, on page 30 we see in Section E, construction review fee that is paid annually.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Would you agree that, if you add up those construction review fees in the three agreements, you get \(\$ 180,000\) per year?
A. I believe so. Yes.
Q. It is dangerous when \(I\) try to do math, but with my math that is over ten years would be 1.8 million.
A. That sounds about right.
Q. So, if you multiply 1.8 times 3 over 30 years, that would be \(\$ 5.4\) million?

\section*{A. That would be correct.}
Q. To be clear, that is in today's dollars because the last sentence in (e) says that that is going to be adjusted annually based on the National Highway Construction Cost Index?

\section*{A. Correct.}
Q. So those amounts will go up over time?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So then the last question that I am going to pose for you is the same question that the Piatt County Board asked you in March. Are you satisfied with these road use agreements?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you feel that Piatt County and the townships are protected?
A. Yes, sir.

MR. HESS: Thank you, Mr. Seibring, for your time. No further questions.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Hess.
Mr. Seibring, don't go away. There's questions for you from other folks.

First of all, Mr. Seibring, thank you.
Questions from the members of the Zoning Board of Appeals for Mr. Seibring?

All right. Very good.
Questions for Mr. Seibring from members of units of local government, including school districts?

Questions from interested parties and
```

members of the public opposed to the application or
neutral on the application?
Any questions from the public for
Mr. Seibring?
Questions from Piatt County staff and
consultants?
Mr. Seibring, you lucked out.
MR. SEIBRING: I can go?
HEARING OFFICER: Yes, sir. Thank you for
your testimony and thank you for all of the answers
that you gave Mr. Hess.
MR. SEIBRING: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER: And all of us appreciate
it. Thank you. You may step down.
Okay. It is 11 minutes until 9:00.
Who is your next witness?
MS. ANTONIOLLI: Our next witness is Jason
Conley. He has a presentation that is approximately
15 minutes, and so it's up to you if you would like
to proceed with his presentation and follow-up with
questions.
HEARING OFFICER: What is the subject
matter of Mr. Conley's testimony?
MS. ANTONIOLLI: He's here to talk about

```
safety, both during construction and during the operations period.

HEARING OFFICER: Then, after Mr. Conley, who do you have?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: We have Brie Anderson, to my right, who will give a presentation that's probably about 20 or 25 minutes.

HEARING OFFICER: And the subject matter of that?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: She will talk about how the application complies with the WECS ordinance.

HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And then after Ms. Anderson?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: We are done with our main presentation.

HEARING OFFICER: And if we need to hear from Mr. MaRous and Dr. Loomis, will they be available tomorrow night?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: They will be available.
HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Chairman, it is 10 minutes until 9:00. If Mr. Conley's presentation goes 15 minutes, and then questions for him, do we want to go to 9:15 or 9:30 considering we have been here almost three hours, or do you want to
    take up Mr. Conley tomorrow night?
    What is the board's pleasure? I will put
    it all on you, Loyd.
    MR. CHAMBERS: I would be okay with
    tomorrow.

MR. HARRINGTON: Second that.
HEARING OFFICER: Jim, will you be here tomorrow night?

MR. HARRINGTON: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Good.
MR. WAX: I'd go for tomorrow.
HEARING OFFICER: I think that we have been here a while. I think what might be best is if we recess now, reconvene tomorrow night at 5:30 in this very room, in this location. And tomorrow night after we resume, after the recess, we'll hear from Mr. Conley, Ms. Anderson, and perhaps Mr. MaRous and Dr. Loomis.

Do you have any other experts here who may need to testify to clarify things?

MS. ANTONIOLLI: At this point, at this moment, we don't have. We don't plan to call any other experts, but we do have them available tomorrow on shadow flicker and noise. We will also
```

have an environmental expert available to answer any
questions that may come up.
MR. HESS: All of our experts will be here
tomorrow.
HEARING OFFICER: So we'll hear from two
and then if the board -- well, the two we
referenced, Mr. Conley and Ms. Anderson, and if the
board wants to hear from anybody else. Okay?
MS. ANTONIOLLI: Yes. That is fine.
HEARING OFFICER: Anything else from you
all?
MR. HESS: No, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
Mr. Keyt, anything?
MR. KEYT: No.
HEARING OFFICER: With that, we will be in
recess until 5:30 tomorrow evening in this room. We
will see you all tomorrow night.
(HEARING IN RECESS.)

```
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